## Master List of comments and resolutions for DPP DS489 for the Revision of SSG-15, Storage Spent Nuclear Fuel November 2014 (SPESS Step 3)

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re- marks
ARG (N)	1	General	The revision of SSG-15 by amendment is a must due to explanation provided in the first paragraphs of the Background, Justification and Overview. The amended version will be welcome by the nuclear community and, therefore, this DPP should be endorsed by NUSSC in order to continue the revision process.		С			Comment only
	2	General	However at the forthcoming NUSSC meeting some discussion would be fruitful, for in- stance third bullet of Objective and Scope: the topic "Avoiding long term off site con- tamination through strengthening severe accident mitigation" seems unrealistic as a general case.		To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC s	ession	
FIN( N/W)		General	The proposal to update the SSG-15 by amendment is good. Updated guide enables the effective implementation of the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi acci- dent.		С			Comment only
FIN( N/W)		General	It is good that the Feedback Analysis Report is submitted with the DPP. However the results of the review could be presented in more detail showing the paragraphs or chap- ters needing the changes.		X (Feed- back analysis report is updated)			
FIN( N/W)		4 Objec- tive and Scope	The main objective of the revision of SSG-15 is to incorporate the result of the gap analysis on the Safety Requirements and Safety Guides based on the feedback from the Fu- kushima Daiichi Accident. The revision will	Delete the third bullet. The severe accident in the spent fuel storage should be practically eliminated.	To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC s	ession	

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re- marks
			<ul> <li>include following topics:</li> <li>Strengthening accident management</li> <li>Preventing severe accident through strengthening the design basis, including strengthening the consideration of external hazards and sufficient margins</li> <li>Avoiding long term off site contamination through strengthening severe accident mitigation</li> </ul>					
			The current version of the Specific Safety Guide, "Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel" (SSG-15) covers spent nuclear fuel storage facilities that may be either collocated with other nuclear facilities (such as a nuclear power plant, research reactor or reprocessing plant) or located on their own sites. This document scope will not be affected by this revision.					
FIN( N/W)		5	GSR Part 6 (Decommissioning) should be added to the reference list.	Interface between storing and decommissioning.			X	Section 5 shows the list of interface documents mainly taken into con- sideration during this revision taking into account lessons from the Fukushima Daiich Accident.
FRA	1	4. Objec- tives and scope	The main objective of the revision of SSG-15 is to incorporate the result of the gap analysis on the Safety Requirements and Safety Guides based on the feedback from the Fu- kushima Daiichi Accident. The revision will include following topics: Strengthening accident management Preventing severe accident through strengthening the design basis, including strengthening the consideration of external	Delete the third bullet. The severe accident in the spent fuel storage should be practically eliminated.	To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC se	ession	<u>.</u>

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re-
			hazards and sufficient margins <ul> <li>Avoiding long term off site contamination</li> <li>through strengthening severe accident miti-</li> </ul>					marks
GER	1	General	Germany welcomes the IAEA secretariat's intention to revise and update the Safety Guide SSG-15 in the light of lessons learnt from the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident. The German experts for nuclear safety and waste safety fully support the objective to incorporate the topical issues addressed in the Feedback Analysis Report into SSG-15. Due to the interface with the five Safety Requirements that have recently been revised under DS462, it would be useful to present the outcomes of the review in a more detailed manner, showing the subsections or para- graphs which will need to be revised in SSG- 15.	Comment only.	X (Feed- back analysis report is updated)			
GER	2	General	As stated in the Feedback Analysis Report, several points for improvements were identi- fied in order to enhance consistency of SSG- 15 with the overarching Safety Requirements GSR Part 5 and NS-R-5. As NS-R-5 is cur- rently under revision (DS478), particular attention is required when revising SSG-15 in parallel, in order to maintain consistency with regard to terminology, concepts and approaches.	At present, the concept of design extension conditions (DEC) is only established in SSR-2/1 "Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design", but neither in GSR Part 5 nor in NS-R-5. Therefore, its im- plementation into the Safety Guide SSG-15 will not be a straightforward exercise.	С			<b>Comment only</b> This comment will be taken into account during the development of DS489.
GER	3	Chapter 1	Document Category: " <u>Specific</u> Safety Guide <del>s</del> "	Clarification regarding the new classification system for publications issued in the IAEA Safety Standards Se- ries.	X			
GER	4	Chapter 2	Please add a new last paragraph with the following text: "In 2011, the IAEA established a "Joint	One of the recommendations of the Joint Working Group provided to WASSC (availa-			Х	In order to focus on incorporating with lessons learned from

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re-
								marks
			Working Group on Guidance for an Integrat-	ble at <u>http://www-</u>				the Fukushima Daiich
			ed Transport and Storage Safety Case for	ns.iaea.org/downloads/rw/was				Accident, the incorpo-
			Dual Purpose Casks for Spent Nuclear Fuel".	te-safety/disp/transcc-wass-				ration of recommenda-
			This three-year project has clarified many	recomm-dual-spentfuel-casks-				tions to WASSC from
			important issues related to the safe manage-	tecdoc.pdf) can be summa-				the joint WG is pro-
			ment of DPCs. The results of the Working	rised as follows:				posed to be considered
			Group's activities have been consolidated in	Current SSG-15 describes an				sion of SSG 15
			a technical document with the provisional	ageing management pro-				SIOII OI 550-15.
			title "Guidance for preparation of a safety	gramme only generally. It				
			case for a dual purpose cask containing spent	would be more informative				
			fuel", which is expected to be published as	for Member States if it could				
			part of the IAEA TECDOC Series. In addi-	include a guideline for prepar-				
			tion, the Working Group provided recom-	ing an ageing management				
			mendations to WASSC and TRANSSC for	programme. Therefore, it is				
			revisions to be made to existing IAEA Safety	recommended to include the				
			Standards relevant to licensing and use of	description in Chapters 1.12.2				
			transport and storage casks for spent fuel,	(Essence of systematic ap-				
			inter alia SSG-15."	proach to ageing manage-				
				ment) and 1.12.3 (Ageing				
				management programme for				
				DPC storage facilities) of the				
				technical document men-				
				tioned at the left into SSG-15				
				as an Annex.				
				A key issue is how to main-				
				tain the DPC safety case for				
				transport during storage -				
				recognizing that storage may				
				be for an extended period of				
				time – so that the DPC can be				
				used for transport regardless				
				of the period of storage. This				
				requires periodic inspections				
				of the DPC as well as periodic				
				review of the DPC safety				
				case.				
GER	5	Chapter 3	2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph:	This is an update of the cur-		"In addition, as a result		

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re-
			"In addition, as a result of gap analysis of existing Safety Standards based on the feed- back from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, revision of <u>the Safety Requirements</u> GSR Part 1, NS-R-3, SSR-2/1, SSR-2/2 and GSR Part 4 are in progress as DS462. DS462 <u>has</u> <u>finally been approved by the Safety Stand- ards Committees and is currently in STEP 11</u> <u>under review by the Commission on Safety</u> <u>Standards (CSS). It and it</u> is expected that the revision process will be completed <u>soon by</u> <u>the end of 2014</u> ."	rent development status of DS462. This paragraph may need further update after the 36 <sup>th</sup> CSS meeting in November 2014 where endorsement of DS462 is envisaged.		of gap analysis of exist- ing Safety Standards based on the feedback from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, revi- sion of <u>the Safety Re-</u> <u>quirements</u> GSR Part 1, NS-R-3, SSR-2/1, SSR- 2/2 and GSR Part 4 are in progress as DS462. DS462 <u>has finally been</u> <u>endorsed by the Com-</u> <u>mission on Safety Stand- ards (CSS) and is cur-</u> rently <u>in STEP 11 await-</u> <u>ing establishment by the</u> Publication Committee		marks
GER	6	Chapter 3	Please add a new last paragraph with the following text: "Furthermore, the input and feedback of the "Joint Working Group on Guidance for an Integrated Transport and Storage Safety Case for Dual Purpose Casks for Spent Nuclear Fuel" on ageing management programmes for DPC storage facilities justifies the need for a revision of SSG-15 with respect to top- ics other than the ones included under the DS462 Addenda to the IAEA Safety Re- quirements in response to the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident."	Unfortunately, the recom- mendations and outcomes of the Joint Working Group are not mentioned at all in the Feedback Analysis Report, although they were presented at the 35 <sup>th</sup> and 37 <sup>th</sup> WASSC meeting. SSG-15 was endorsed at the 27 <sup>th</sup> CSS meeting held in March 2010. Since that time, new regulations came into force in several countries where there is a need for ex- tended dry storage of spent fuel beyond the regulatory licensing timeframe, e.g. in Germany: the Nuclear Waste Man-			X	In order to focus on incorporating with lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiich Accident, the incorpo- ration of recommenda- tions to WASSC from the joint WG is pro- posed to be considered at the time of full revi- sion of SSG-15.

MS	No.	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified	Reject	Reason for modifica-
		NO.				as follows / remarks		tion/rejection / re- marks
				agement Commission				
				(ESK) issued "Guidelines				
				for the performance of pe-				
				riodic safety reviews and on				
				technical ageing manage-				
				ment for storage facilities				
				for spent fuel and heat-				
				generating radioactive				
				waste <sup>27</sup> (March 2014);				
				• in the United States:				
				the NRC issued the final				
				report "Standard Review				
				Plan for Renewal of Spent				
				Fuel Dry Cask Storage Sys-				
				tem Licenses and Certifi-				
				cates of Compliance (NU-				
				KEG-1927, March 2011)				
				soction on agoing manage				
				mont roview				
				ment review.				
				Germany recommends a thor-				
				ough review of SSG-15 in				
				order to evaluate whether the				
				Safety Guide reflects a current				
				state-of-the-art of industry				
				practices and R&D results				
				with respect to the following				
				topics:				
				• Application and review of				
				ageing management pro-				
				grammes for long term op-				
				eration of spent fuel storage				
				facilities;				
				Management of obsoles-				
				cence of SSCs important to				

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re-
								marks
				safety;				
				• Interfaces between ageing				
				management, periodic safe-				
				ty review, and license re-				
				newal.				
GER	7	Chapter 4	2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet:	The main idea is to protect the	Х			
			"Protection against internal and external	spent fuel storage facilities				
			hazards. The design of a spent fuel storage	against internal and external				
			facility should provide for an adequate mar-	hazards in such a manner that				
			gin to withstand internal or external hazards	no uncontrollable accidents				
			exceeding those to be considered for the	will be initiated. Adequate				
			design. Preventing severe accident through	margins to avoid cliff edge				
			strengthening the design basis, including	effects for higher magnitudes				
			strengthening the consideration of external	of the hazards than considered				
			hazards and sufficient margins"	for the design should be pro-				
				vided, taking into account the				
				site hazard evaluation.				
				For ensuring consistency with				
				the Safety Requirements SSR-				
				2/1 Rev. 1 "Safety of Nuclear				
				Power Plants: Design"				
				(DS462, version July 2014),				
				the term 'adequate margin'				
				(instead of 'sufficient mar-				
				gin') should be used in the				
~~~			ard	bullet.				
GER	8	Chapter 4	3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet:	To be consistent with the	To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC se	ssion	
			Practical elimination of core melt accidents	strategy for wet storage of				
			leading to early or large releases Avoiding	spent fuel in pools at a reactor				
			long term off site contamination through	site, accidents leading to core				
			strengthening severe accident mitigation"	melt shall be practically elim-				
				inated. Assuming that the				
				residual heat of fuel assem-				
				blies in dry storage is much				
				iower than in spent fuel pools,				
				the same stringent require-				
	1			ment has to be applied here.				

MS	No.	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re-
								marks
GER	9	Chapter 5	<ul> <li>Please add the following IAEA Safety Standards to the list of interface documents:</li> <li>5. GSR Part 6: Decommissioning of Nuclear Installations</li> <li>6. GSR Part 3: Radiation Radiation Protection and Safety of Radiation Sources: International Basic Safety Standards</li> <li>7. SSG-27: Criticality Safety in the Handling of Fissile Material</li> </ul>	For completeness.			X	Section 5 shows the list of interface documents mainly taken into con- sideration during this revision taking into account lessons from the Fukushima Daiich Accident.
JPN (N)	1	General	"The Feedback Analysis Report" which con- tains the outcome of SSG-15 review is sup- posed to be attached to this DPP. There is no attachment to this DPP.	Clarification.	X (up- loaded on 19, Sep.)			
JPN (N)	2	4. OB- JECTIVE AND SCOPE	One of the important issues we have lessons and learn from the Tepco Fukushima Daiichi accident is a design and management philos- ophy of the spent fuel pool collocated with a nuclear power plant. How to deal with the maximum allowable time and amount of spent fuels in the SFP should be discussed in the OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE of this DPP?	Clarification taking into ac- count the lessons and learnt from the Tepco Fukushima Daiichi NPPs accidents.			Х	Spent fuel pool collo- cated with a nuclear power plant is out of scope of SSG-15, but (DS487 (revision of NS-G-1.4)).
JPN (N)	3	4. OB- JECTIVE AND SCOPE 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet	•Preventing severe accident through strengthening the design basis, including strengthening the consideration of external hazards and sufficient adequate margins	Be consisted with SSR-2/1 rev.1 as DS462 para. 5.21a. for protecting external haz- ards.		Second bullet of Objec- tive and Scope is revised as: Protection against inter- nal and external hazards. The design of a spent fuel storage facility should provide for an adequate margin to with- stand internal or external hazards exceeding those to be considered for the design.		

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re- marks
						(Germany comment No.7)		
JPN (N)	4	6. OVER- VIEW 2nd sen- tence	It will be a revision by amendment.	Mainly we agree with the scope as limited to the amendment only, however, to be consisted with the revision of the amendment, it might be modified accordingly.			X	It should be clearly addressed that this is the revision of the amendment,
JPN (N)	5	7. PRO- DUCTION SCHED- ULE	Since this revision is not expected to affect the current structure and the most of the cur- rent text of the guide, and revised by the amendment only, the PRODUCTION SCHEDULE should be shortened.				X	Considering that NS-R- 5 is also under revision, it is proposed to keep the schedule.
ROK	1	General comments	We welcomes the idea of revising SSG-15 by amendment light of the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident.		С			Comment only
ROK	2	2. BACK- GROUND	the Waste Safety Requirements and Guides at-in the light of the lessons learnt	To use more adequate expres- sion	Х			
ROK	3	2. BACK- GROUND	in the light of Fukushima Daiichi lessons learned the lessons learned from the Fuku- shima Daiichi Accident	To use more adequate expres- sion	Х			
ROK	4	4. OB- JECTIVE AND SCOPE	The revision will include <u>the</u> following topics <u>but not limited to</u> :	The areas of amendment of SSG-15 proposed by Consul- tancy may include other is- sues such as the reliability of ultimate heat sink, prevention of fuel uncover (for wet stor- age), etc.	X			
UKR	1	4. OB- JECTIVE AND SCOPE	Extend the topics to be considered under SSG-15 revision with the "Strengthening <u>safety analysis</u> and accident management"	Strengthening <u>safety analysis</u> is a precondition to enhance accident management and should be considered as well.			X	It is considered that this point is covered by the second bullet.

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re- marks
USA	1	Proposed Action	Delete "by amendment"	There does not seem to be an obvious advantage to making this change by amendment. There seems to be enough time to consider any other necessary changes to the doc- ument (although few are ex- pected).			Х	Considering that SSG- 15 was published in 2012, this revision should focus on the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident.
USA	2	Page 2, 4) Objec- tive and Scope	<ul> <li>Modify first bullet to read:</li> <li>Strengthening safety of accident management including extreme situations (e.g.; multiple initiating events occurring simultaneously).</li> </ul>	Completeness and consistency with the "Feedback Analysis Report."			Х	Definition of accident management already includes extreme situa- tions.
USA	3	Pg. 2, Section 4, Third bul- let under topics	Change to language closer to the perfor- mance criteria used in the Fukushima chang- es to SSR 2-1. (e.g. practically eliminating early and large releases)	Consistency	To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC se	ssion	
USA	4	Page 2, 4) Objec- tive and Scope	Establish harmony with relevant updated safety requirements document.	This is a key objective since SSG-15 was developed much earlier than recently updated key requirements.	X (Added to 4.)			
USA	5	Pg. 2, Section 4	It should be clearer that the purpose of this change is to introduce the concept of design extension conditions into this safety guide. It is problematic because the requirements document that introduced this concept to SFPs (SSR 2-1) is not one of the require- ments documents listed in Section 1.8 of SSG-15.	De-facto new requirements should not be introduced at the safety guide level.			X	The concept of design extension conditions is introduced in GSG-3. SSR-2/1 is addressed as the "RELATED PUBLICATIONS IN THE IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS SE- RIES" in Annex IV of
USA	6	Page 2,	At the end of last Para of Section 4 (Objec-	Handling of SNF after cease			Х	Considering that SSG-

MS	No.	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified	Reject	Reason for modifica-
		NO.				as follows / Telliarks		marks
		4) Objec- tive and Scope	tives and Scope) add: The scope also covers spent nuclear fuel (SNF) after cease of operation and during decommissioning before license termination.	of operation and during dis- mantling and decommission- ing is an important aspect anticipated to be covered by DS489.				15 was published in 2012, this revision should focus on the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident.
USA	7	Page 3, 5), after Item #5 add two items	Add: 6. SSR-4: Safety of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Fa- cilities 7. GSR Part 3: radiation Protection and Safe- ty of radiation Sources	Relevance and Completeness.			X	Section 5 shows the list of interface documents mainly taken into con- sideration during this revision taking into account lessons from the Fukushima Daiich Accident. DS478 (revision of NS- R-5) is included in the reference list.
USA	8	Pg. 3, Section 6, Overview	Although the feedback analysis report pro- vides some background, a more detailed description of which new or revised para- graphs are contemplated should be provided.	Too difficult to ascertain the nature of the changes contem- plated by the DPP.	X (Feed- back analysis report is updated)			
USA	1(ad di- tion al)	4) Objec- tive and Scope	If the term "severe accident" is not defined for these facilities, it needs to be defined.	It may not be appropriate to apply the DEC concept to installations other than NPPs.	C			Appropriate description will be proposed during the development of DS489
USA	2(ad di- tion al)	4) Objec- tive and Scope		Incorporating severe accidents into the design basis could confuse the fact that analyses for DEC can be realistic anal- yses while analyses for design basis are typically bounding analyses (both deterministic and probabilistic).	C			Appropriate description will be proposed during the development of DS489
USA	3(ad	4) Objec-	The term "design basis" is not well under-	The design basis for a compo-	C			Appropriate description

MS	No.	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified	Reject	Reason for modifica-
		No.				as follows / remarks		tion/rejection / re-
	1'							marks
	d1-	tive and	stood, and needs to be defined for these facil-	nent may be different than the				the development of
	uon	Scope	ittes.	design basis for a plant safety				
	al)			analysis. For example, the				D3409
				requirements for quality as-				
				from traditional design basis				
				information				
LICA	Alad	(1) Object		Information. In the context of $SSP2/1$	To be dis	oussed at WASSC/NUSSC av	ssion	
USA	4(au	4) Objec-		there was lengthy discussion		cussed at WASSC/11055C sc	.551011	
	tion	Scope		of radiological consequences				
	al)	Scope		There was agreement that				
	<i>ai)</i>			"large" and "early" releases				
				should be used as a design				
				criteria vice "avoidance of				
				land contamination " This				
				concept needed to be modi-				
				fied for spent fuel pools, since				
				there is no distinction between				
				the releases. Instead, the				
				design criteria was focused on				
				preventing high radiation				
				doses, primarily through pre-				
				venting loss of inventory be-				
				low the top of the fuel. This				
				thought is not captured in the				
				objectives				
ENIS	1	General	It is clear that there needs to be a distinction	The DPP correctly excludes	C			Comment only
S			between the types of fuel storage. For exam-	the storage of spent fuel on				This comment will be
			ple the recommendations from the Feedback	the NPP spent fuel pools that				taken into account
			Analysis Report include "Strengthen Acci-	is dealt with on the revision of				during the development
			dent Management" and "Avoiding long term	SSR2/1 just finished. This				of DS489.
			off site contamination through strengthening	means that the scenarios that				
			severe accident mitigation". These recom-	more easily could cause spent				
			mendations may well be appropriate for wet	fuel damage should be ex-				
			fuel storage where active cooling and active	cluded.				
			containment is required. However it is not					
			appropriate for a Dry Fuel Store with passive					

MS	No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accept	Accepted, but modified as follows / remarks	Reject	Reason for modifica- tion/rejection / re- marks
			cooling and multiple (passive) containment barriers.					
			The revision should also take into considera- tion progressive reduction of residual heat produced by the spent fuel	The reduced residual heat				
				production, once the spent fuel can be stored in casks or on of site pools, provides				
				longer time than usual for recovery actions. The time available will increase pro- gressively giving more cer- tainty to the recovery actions				
ENIS S	2	Objective 1 <sup>st</sup> bullet	Strengthening accident management	It seems that this constitutes an extension of the scope (revision of SSG 15).			X	Operation of spent fuel storage facilities is a part of SSG-15
ENIS S	3	Objective third bullet	Avoiding long term off site contamination through strengthening severe accident miti- gation <u>features</u> , <u>if needed</u> .	The term mitigation alone may be misleading. The SSG 15 is basically a design guide so better specify that revision will deal with the SSC of the facilities.	To be dis	cussed at WASSC/NUSSC se	ession	
ENIS S	5	Interfaces with planned publica- tions	Add <u>DS 483 ( REVISION OF NS.G-2.15)</u> Severe Accident Management Programme for Nuclear Power Plants,	For completeness.	X			