Comments on IAEA DPP 457

	COMMENTS BY REVIEWER							
Reviewer:	eviewer: Date: 24 May 2011			RESOLUTION				
Country/Organization: EC								
Comment No. / Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1	General	While the necessity to revise these Requirements is beyond doubt, it might be useful to delay a bit the actual redrafting to take into considerations the lessons learned from Fukushima event.	High quality and adequacy of the document					

DPP DS457 Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency, 2002, GS-R-2

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER	RESOLUTION				
Reviewer: Country/Or	ganization:	STUK/Finland	Page of				
Date: 30 M	ay 2011	5 T GTS T Inhalia					
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	General comments about the Goals of the Guide	The Guide has been in use for about 10 years. Thus it is justified to gather feedback from the MS's about their experience and application of the guide in exercises and real events. In many situations also security personnel are needed (in addition/together with emergency staff) e.g. during transport of radioactive material or in threats related to unlawful action. Emergency response arrangements shall be consistent with physical protection of the nuclear power plants and both safety and security aspects should be taken into account when planning of emergency response arrangements and organizing training and exercises.					

TITLE: DS457 DPP Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION				
Reviewer:	rganization:	F. Féron		Page Date: 31-05-2011				
Comment No.	Para/Line No.		Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1.	4	Add feedbac NS-R-2	ek from MS on use of current	Feedback from Member States (IRRS, actual emergencies) should be summarized				
2.	6			Would it be possible to identify within GS-R-2 the requirement which will be updated?				
3.	7			This DPP is for the revision of a document GS-R-2. It might be useful to delay a bit the actual redrafting to take into considerations the lessons learned from Fukushima event. Starting the drafting in 2012 might be an option				
4.								

Title: DS457 DPP Safety Requirements on Emergency Preparedness and Response

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION				
Reviewer: S. Maki			Page 1 of 1					
Country/C	Organization: Ja	pan/ NISA	Date: 7 June 2011					
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modif./rejection	
1	Section 5 of DPP	The ICRP Publication 111 should be also addressed for the interface with the proposed Part of General Safety Requirements.	Since GS-R-2 provides functional requirements concerning long-term protective actions and recovery operations, the revision of the requirements should deal with post-emergency situations.					

DS457 Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER					RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: T.Homma, M.Nakano(JAEA)								
Page 1 of 1								
Country/	Organization:Jaj	pan						
Date:								
Comment	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.					modified as follows		modification/rejection	
1	General	We appreciate IAEA secretariat's great	Comment only.					
		effort to prepare this DPP.						
		We would emphasize the importance of						
		the following three particular issues as						
		mentioned in the objective in this DPP.						
		- To take into account the feedback from						
		Member States experience of using the						
		current GS-R-2						
		- To take into account lessons learned						
		from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear						
		power station accident						
		- To consider the specific application of						
		the recent ICRP recommendations						
2	6.	International Requirements will	It is not necessary to					
	OVERVIRW	elaborate on the requirements for	limit to malicious acts.					
		preparedness and response at						
		international level based on international						
		instruments/conventions. It will define						
		the threshold for reporting events and						
		ensure that <u>actions including</u> malicious						
		acts that have radiological consequences						
		are reported to affected Member States						
		and the Agency.						

Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency (DS457)

	COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION				
Reviewe	r:								
Page 1 of 1									
Country/Organization:Japan/Nuclear and Industrial Safety Agency (NISA)									
Date: Jur	ne.13.2011								
Comment	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for		
No.					modified as follows		modification/rejection		
1	General	We deeply appreciate IAEA							
		secretariat's effort to take into							
		account lessons of Fukushima							
		Dai-ichi Nuclear Emergency.							

TITLE: U.S. Comments on DS457 DPP on "Preparedness and Response for Nuclear or Radiological Emergency"

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER RESOLUTION Reviewer: USA WASSC (Contact: Boby Eid)

Page..1.. of.2.

Country/Organization: US NRC/WASSC Date: 06/12/2011							
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	Section 3 Objective	Another objective of revision of GS-R-2 should include: -T to harmonize with recently issued GS-Rs or GS-Rs underdevelopment such as GS-R-Part 1 and the BSS latest revision (DS379); as well as with SSRs.	A significant objectives is to update safety standards to be in harmony with newly revised or updated standards.				3
2		The DS457 safety standard needs to be harmonized with the revisions ICRP recommendations as well as the updated IAEA Glossary.	Consistency and harmonization with ICRP and with IAEA Glossary.				
3		We recommend that the standard be developed with consideration of lessons learned form key events such as Fukoshima and review of Task Force Reports (developed) or to be developed by leading countries with singinficant experience in use and generation of of nuclear power.	Lessons learned from events or accidents are necessary to be considered and incorporated in the current safety standards.				
4	Table of Contents	Under Section 7 (Proposed Content) we recommend adding the following items: • Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and	Completeness of the Table of content to address significant safety requirement issues pertaining to preparedness and emergency response as				

Preparedness in Support	well as mitigation		
	_		
of Nuclear Power Plants and	measures.		
Nuclear facilities			
 waste disposal plan and actions 			
under radiological emergencies.			
Requirements for protective			
measures			
 Social counter measures for 			
preparedness under nuclear or			
radiological emergency			
conditions;			
Mitigation of radioactive			
contamination and restrictions			
on agricultural products and			
food stuff			
Stakeholders and public			
involvement in decision-making			
for preparedness and mitigation			
1			
of impacts; and			
Communication and decision-			
making plans under emergency			
conditions, and			
Liabilities and compensation			
1			