## NUSSC and NSGC comments on: Rev. "J" DS430 Design of Electrical Power Systems for Nuclear Power Plants (16.10.2013)

|                |  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |  | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |  |  |
|----------------|--|---|--|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.   | Proposed new text   | Reason   | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection  |  |
| AR 1           |  | General   | This draft is practically ready<br>for endorsement by NUSSC<br>after discussion at the<br>forthcoming meeting.   | x          |                                   |          |  |  |
| AR 2           |  | General   | In connection with<br>classification, reference 4 is<br>still a draft but it needs to be<br>retained in that list due to the<br>modern guidance on how to<br>assign safety classes to SSCs<br>in association with (DiD);<br>Table I-1 and related<br>paragraphs of Annex I presents<br>clear examples. | X          |                                   |          |  |  |
| AR 3           |  | General   | In a wide context the<br>application of DiD to the<br>design of electrical power<br>systems for NPPs, as stated in<br>OECD/NEA CSNI Technical<br>Opinion Paper 16 (Reference<br>21), will be complementary<br>useful information for<br>improving safety.  | X          |                                   |          |  |  |
| CA 1           | 1 (p. 3 of 39)   | Suggest the following amendment:<br>1.10: "This Safety Guide applies to all<br>types of nuclear power plants. The<br>guidance provided in this document may<br>also be applied to the design of electrical<br>power systems for SMRs and research<br>reactors in a manner that is commensurate<br>with the risks presented by the facility. | To consider research reactors<br>as well.  |            |                                   | X        | DS 430 further<br>develops requirements<br>of SSR 2/1 which is<br>applicable to NPP.<br>SMR design features<br>were not explicitly<br>analyzed when<br>preparing DS 430. |  |
| CA 2           | 2 (p. 6 of 39)<br>2.21, 7.28,<br>Annex I ,the<br>4th level of<br>DiD & |   | There is no definition of<br>'design extension conditions',<br>(DEC) in the guide. We<br>suggest that the IAEA<br>definition of 'design extension<br>conditions',(DEC) be included   |            |                                   | x        | Definition of DEC is<br>provided in SSR 2/1.<br>DS 430 does not repeat<br>definitions from higher<br>IAEA documents.   |  |

|                |                    | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   |          | RESOLUTION   |          |   |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------|--|---|----------|--|----------|---|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.   | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted | Accepted, but modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection  |  |  |
|                | DEFINITIO<br>NS    |  | in the Guide.<br>Reference or definition should<br>be in the guide.   |          |  |          |   |  |  |
| CA 3           | 5 (p. 10 of<br>39) |  | Please clarify what the blue<br>and green lines represent in<br>Fig 6. The meaning of Figure<br>6 is not clear.   |          |  | X        | This is Fig. 5. There is a description of what blue and green lines mean in the Fig. 5. They represent boundary for voltage swell and sag.                  |  |  |
| CA 4           | 5 (p.16 of<br>39)  | Valve Torque calculations should also<br>include the impact of high ambient<br>temperature where applicable.   | Some valve actuators are<br>required to operate under<br>conditions of high ambient<br>temperature. High ambient<br>temperature may impact cable<br>and motor winding resistance<br>with an effect on available<br>torque.  |          |  | х        | Covered by<br>5.102. Electrical<br>equipment should be<br>selected, rated and<br>qualified for its service<br>conditions and<br>environmental<br>conditions |  |  |
| CA 5           | 5 (p.16 of<br>39)  | Motor operated valve (MOV) actuators<br>should be designed in order to close with<br>enough torque at minimum voltage and<br>frequency, not exceeding maximum<br>permissible torque at high voltage and<br>frequency, and be able to open the valve at<br>minimum voltage. | For better guidance this<br>sentence should be modified   |          |  | X        | Covered by<br>5.102. Electrical<br>equipment should be<br>selected, rated and<br>qualified for its service<br>conditions and<br>environmental<br>conditions |  |  |
| CA 6           | 5 (p. 17 of<br>39) |  | The definition of electrical<br>equipment provided in this<br>clause includes cable systems.<br>We feel that this sentence<br>should be deleted since it is<br>confusing and it is not in line<br>with many of the clauses<br>referring to cables, equipment<br>and associated cables. (i.e.<br>5.104, 5.107, 5.118 5.119,<br>5.120, 5.121, 5.122, 5.123,<br>5.124, 5.127, 5.128, 5.129,<br>5.155, 5.209, 9.15c etc) For<br>better clarity, we suggest the<br>title be changed adequately | X        | Subheading change<br>to: "Electrical<br>equipment, cables<br>and raceways" |          |   |  |  |

|                |                    | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |   | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------|---|---|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.   | Proposed new text   | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection  |  |
| CA 7           | 5 (p. 22 of<br>39) | Implementation of this recommendation<br>involves evaluating and documenting the<br>reasons for, <del>root causes of, systematic</del><br>review and actions taken after a failed<br>test before the results of a repeated test<br>can be used to demonstrate operability of<br>the system or component involved  | The term Root causes should<br>be explained as these are not<br>always necessary.<br>Root cause is too thorough for<br>tests that fail for readily<br>apparent reason.  | X          | Deleted "root" .                  |          |  |  |
| CA 8           | 5 (p. 23 of<br>39) | Evaluation and documentation of the <del>root</del><br>causes systematic review of a failed test,<br>and remedial actions taken, are necessary<br>before the results of a repeated test can be<br>used to demonstrate operability of the<br>systems or component involved.<br>Corrective actions may, for example,<br>include calibration or repair of<br>components, or changes to test<br>procedures. | The term Root causes should<br>be explained as these are not<br>always necessary.<br>Root cause is too thorough for<br>tests that fail for readily<br>apparent reason.  | X          | Deleted "root" .                  |          |  |  |
| CA 9           | 5 (p. 24 of 39)    | Each unit in a multi-unit power plant<br>should have separate and independent<br>power systems important to safety.<br>Common electrical supply to multiple units<br>is acceptable if analysis of the design shows<br>adequate reliability.   | Interconnecting power<br>supplies between units can<br>improve safety by allowing<br>one unit to support one or<br>more other units. An example<br>is Fukushima 5 and 6, where<br>one air-cooled DG supplied<br>both units. See Requirement<br>33 of SSR-2/1.<br>The common electrical supply<br>to multiple units should be<br>acceptable if it is properly<br>justified (i.e. in Canada). |            |                                   | X        | This comment is<br>addressed in Section 8,<br>Alternate power supply.<br>8.7. If an alternate AC<br>power source serves<br>more than one unit at a<br>site where safety<br>standby AC power<br>sources are shared<br>between units, the<br>alternate AC power<br>source should have<br>sufficient capacity to<br>operate systems<br>necessary for coping<br>with a station blackout<br>for the time required to<br>bring all units that share<br>the safety AC power<br>sources to a controlled<br>state and to maintain<br>them in a controlled<br>state. |  |

|                |                    | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |  |          | RESOLUTION                           |          |  |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------|--|--|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.   | Proposed new text  | Reason   | Accepted | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection<br>8.8. The alternate AC<br>power source for one<br>unit should not<br>normally be connected<br>to the on-site power<br>system of that unit.  |  |  |
| CA 10          | 7 (p. 29 of<br>39) | Degradation of the preferred power<br>supply of each safety power system bus<br>(i.e. over voltage, under voltage, over<br>frequency, and under frequency) should<br>be detected on the buses of the safety<br><u>AC power systems as required per the</u><br>plants design basis. | It is important to recognize<br>that not all buses require<br>individual detection. Perhaps a<br>common source is connecting<br>so frequency will be the same<br>on sub-buses. The addition,<br>allows this clause to be<br>feasible.  |          |                                      | X        | In particular, <b>the safety</b><br><b>buses require</b><br>individual detection.<br>This is an intention of<br>this safety guide.   |  |  |
| CA 11          | 7 (p. 29 of<br>39) | Buses affected by degradation of the<br>preferred power supply should be<br><del>automatically</del> -disconnected from its<br>power source if the degradation exceeds<br>the levels specified in the design<br>requirements per the plant's design basis.                         | It needs to be recognized that<br>automatic disconnection of the<br>PPS would be a non-<br>conservative action in some<br>CANDU multi-unit stations.<br>the proposal from IEEE above<br>is also acceptable. This guide<br>should not document<br>requirements that are adverse<br>to overall plant safety.   |          |                                      | X        | This is a design rule<br>that "those buses<br>affected by degradation<br><b>must be</b> automatically<br>(as soon as possible)<br>disconnected in order to<br>prevent propagation of<br>electrical faults into the<br>plant safety systems,<br>which are powered<br>from safety buses.<br>Forsmark event of 2006<br>and Olkiluoto event of<br>2008 are lessons<br>learned that underlines<br>this design rule. |  |  |
| CA 12          | 7 (p. 30 of<br>39) | Each scheme should monitor all three-<br>phases. Replace with: Each scheme<br>should monitor the phase voltages<br>necessary to produce a reliable design.   | Many stations use two phase-<br>phase sensing relays and<br>trigger operation on a 2/2<br>logic. This provides a robust<br>and reliable system that has<br>worked well for many years.<br>FMEA would likely rule out a<br>complicated 3 relay system<br>that would need complicated<br>LOGIC/PLC to operate. |          |                                      | X        | DS 430 provide for a<br>recommended design<br>practice. Modern digital<br>electrical protection<br>devices make that<br>possible.  |  |  |
| CA 13          | 7 (p. 30 of 39)    | The protection system design should  | Lower-level power supplies do not have nor need redundant  |          |                                      | Х        | Not true. Generator,<br>main transformers,   |  |  |

|                |                       | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |   | RESOLUTION |   |          |   |  |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------|---|----------|---|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.      | Proposed new text   | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection   |  |
|                |                       | consider <del>be</del> -redundant schemes for main supplies.  | protections.  |            |   |          | house load transforms<br>should have backup<br>protections. These are<br>big sources. Any fault<br>must be isolated |  |
| CA 14          | 8 (p. 35 of<br>39)    | The design should include complementary<br>design features for connection of portable<br>or transportable power sources or a<br>combination of these to cope with<br>prolonged total loss of AC power or DC<br>sources.   | This is a requirement in<br>Canada  | x          | Added to section 8<br>8.17 The plant design<br>shall include the<br>necessary features to<br>enable the use of<br>non-permanent<br>power sources which<br>may be available at<br>the site or not. |          |   |  |
| CA 15          | 8 (p. 35 of<br>39)    | Alternate AC power supplies should be<br>capable of supplying the required loads<br>within the time specified in the plant safety<br>analysis, the plant station blackout and<br>DEC coping analyses.   | This is a requirement in<br>Canada  | X          | 8.18 Equipment<br>necessary to mitigate<br>the consequences of<br>a core melt accident<br>shall be able to be<br>supplied by any of<br>the power sources.   |          |   |  |
| CA 16          | Annex I (p. 35 of 39) | Power Quality<br>Power quality analysis should be performed<br>for important to safety AC and DC control<br>and instrumentation power supplies,<br>including the evaluation of transient<br>disturbances, electro magnetic effects and<br>harmonic distortion.<br>It should identify equipment that will be<br>affected by poor power quality and the ones<br>which potentially contribute to it such as<br>variable speed drives and battery chargers.<br>Assumptions and conclusions<br>demonstrating that the acceptance criteria<br>addressing the power quality for the<br>identified equipment have been met. | Requirement for a power<br>quality assessment of control<br>power supplies should be<br>added. A clause is included for<br>consideration.                         |            |   | x        | This is covered by<br>Annex I, I-37, and<br>Annex II "Analysis of<br>electrical power<br>systems"                   |  |
| CA 17          | 5.126 (P.31)          | Suggest to remove this item:<br>" <del>5.126. All grounding systems should be</del><br><del>connected to a single grounding grid."</del>  | There is no basis for this rigid<br>recommendation. The installed<br>splice could be the toughest<br>part of a cable. Use of splices<br>is very common in Canada. |            |   | X        | The technical<br>background is that if the<br>different grounding<br>systems are not<br>connected together to a     |  |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |   |          | RESC  | DLUTION  |  |
|----------------|------------------|---|---|----------|---|----------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text   | Reason  | Accepted | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection<br>single grounding grid,   |
|                |                  |   |   |          |   |          | there will be circulating<br>currents that could<br>cause harm,<br>interference or voltage<br>differences. |
| DE 1           | 5.67             | Failures of electrical components important<br>to safety should be detectable by periodic<br>testing or revealed by alarm or <b>anomalous</b><br>indication.  | The word anomalous is used<br>as an adjective, which can be<br>omitted. The reason for that is<br>that a failure of electrical<br>component can be detected by<br>an indication of certain<br>parameters in analogue or<br>digital way. This detection is<br>not an anomalous indication.<br>So not the indication is<br>anomalous but the measured<br>parameter.<br>An indication that is<br>anomalous would mean that<br>the indication is not correct.<br>An incorrect indication does<br>not give any information about<br>the state of the observed<br>component.<br>Therefore the word<br><b>"anomalous</b> " can be<br>eliminated.<br>This is analog to the other<br>criteria "periodic testing" and<br>"alarm" with have also no<br>additional adjective. | X        | Failures of electrical<br>components<br>important to safety<br>should be detectable<br>by means of periodic<br>testing or revealed by<br>means of alarms or<br>indications of<br>anomalies. |          |  |
| DE 2           | 5.197            | • The emission characteristics of<br>wireless systems and devices used<br>at the plant as well as those of<br>repair, maintenance and measuring<br>devices. Wireless systems and<br>devices include, for example,<br>mobile phones, radio transceivers,<br>and wireless <b>da-ta</b> communication<br>networks. | The last sentence is not<br>necessary (twice).<br>" <b>Data</b> " is one word without<br>hyphen.  | x        |   |          | Already corrected by<br>editor   |

|                |                     | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |                                      |  |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.    | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection |  |
|                |                     | Wireless systems and devices-<br>include, for example, mobile-<br>phones, radio transceivers, and-<br>wireless data communication-<br>networks.  |   |            |                                   |          |                                      |  |
| DE 3           | 7.25b<br>(second a) | Any undetectable failures, i.e., any failure<br>that cannot be detected by periodic testing,<br>alarm or <b>anomalous</b> -indication;   | See reason of comment no. 1<br>"The word anomalous is used<br>as an adjective, which can be<br>omitted. The reason for that is<br>that a failure of electrical<br>component can be detected by<br>an indication of certain<br>parameters in analogue or<br>digital way. This detection is<br>not an anomalous indication.<br>So not the indication is<br>anomalous but the measured<br>parameter.<br>An indication that is<br>anomalous would mean that<br>the indication is not correct.<br>An incorrect indication does<br>not give any information about<br>the state of the observed<br>component.<br>Therefore the word<br>" <b>anomalous</b> " can be<br>eliminated.<br>This is analog to the other<br>criteria "periodic testing" and<br>"alarm" with have also no<br>additional adjective." | X          |                                   |          |                                      |  |
| DE 4           | 7.25                | <ul> <li>a. Any single detectable failure</li> <li>within the safety system;</li> <li><b>ab</b>. Any undetectable failures, i.e., any failure that cannot be detected by periodic testing, alarm or anomalous indication;</li> <li><b>bc</b>. All failures caused by the single failure;</li> <li><b>ed</b>. All failures and spurious system actions that cause, or are caused by, the design basis event requiring the safety</li> </ul> | The character "a" is twice.   | X          |                                   |          | Already corrected.                   |  |

|                |  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |   | RESOLUTION |   |          |   |  |
|----------------|--|---|---|------------|---|----------|---|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.   | Proposed new text   | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows   | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection  |  |
|                |  | function; and<br><b>de</b> . The removal from service or<br>bypassed of part of the safety system for<br>testing or maintenance that is allowed by<br>plant operating limits and conditions.  |   |            |   |          |   |  |
| DE 5           | 7.49   | <ul> <li>In case that Ooff-site sources of fuel and other consumables and in addition</li> <li>possibilities may be depended upon if sources of replenishment the on-site</li> <li>sources are identified, following</li> <li>requirements should be fulfilled:         <ul> <li>quantity of fuel and other</li> <li>consumables and on-site sources are is sufficient for supporting the time-required functions in the plant</li> <li>the time for-to replenishment is within the limits of an operation determined by existing on-site sources are sized for 1 to 2 weeks of operation without replenishment from external sources.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | The sentence is difficult to<br>understand.   |            | Off-site sources of<br>fuel and other<br>consumables may be<br>depended on if<br>sources of<br>replenishment are<br>identified and if on-<br>site sources are<br>sufficient for the time<br>required to replenish<br>supplies. In most<br>States, on-site<br>sources are sized for<br>one to two weeks of<br>operation without<br>replenishment from<br>external sources. | X        | We prefer existing,<br>slightly modified short<br>wording.  |  |
| CH 1           | Sheet 8, last<br>bullet, last<br>part of the<br>sentence                 | 11 1  | Should be not allowed during normal operation.  | x          |   |          |   |  |
| CH 2           | Sheet 9, 2.17<br>Definition<br>for stable and<br>reliable is<br>missing. | NG-T-3.8 (sheet 12):<br>A Stable and reliable grid would be one   | If you give no information<br>about the values what you<br>expect from a stable a reliable<br>grid the grid code will be<br>changed in a way which is not<br>a safe direction for NPP's<br>(tendency weaker). Also we |            |   | х        | NG-T-3.8 is referenced<br>in 6.64<br>I-11 covers stable grid<br>with direct reference to<br>NG-T-3.8.<br>We prefer existing short |  |

|                |                                   | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   |          | RESOLUTION                           |          |  |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.                  | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection   |  |  |
|                |                                   | <ul> <li>Frequency is controlled within +/-1% of nominal frequency for the majority of the time.</li> <li>Voltage is controlled within +/- 5% of the nominal value on the high voltage transmission system for the majority of the time.</li> <li>Events that disconnect parts of the grid, or lead to blackout of major part of the grid are rare (much less than once per year). This applies particularly tot that part of the grid to which the NPP is connected.</li> <li>The grid recovery following regional a regional blackout restores power for essential services, incl. offsite power for NPP`s, in less than two hours.</li> </ul> | get information, that experts<br>expect for the future that grid<br>in Europe will trip more than<br>once per year. |          |                                      |          | wording in 2.17.   |  |  |
| CH 3           | Sheet 29,<br>5.105                | and the impulse rating greater than any transient voltage (e.g. 130%) to which the equipment might be subjected transient  | Title is rating an sizing. Please give an example value for transient voltage e.g. 130%.                            |          |                                      | X        | This transient value<br>differs in MS, we<br>prepare not to put a<br>number.   |  |  |
| CH 4           | Sheet 31,<br>5.119<br>character c | c. Medium voltage power cables (from 1kV up to 35 kV) and  | Instead of "35 kV or less" write "from 1 kV up to 35 kV".   | x        |                                      |          |  |  |  |
| CH 5           | Page 57,<br>7.19                  | spurious shedding of safety loads from the standby <b>power supply</b>   | In the document generally<br>power supply is used,<br>including diesel generator                                    | x        | standby power sources                |          |  |  |  |
| ENIS 1         | § 1.9                             | The Safety Guide makes recommendations<br>and provides guidance on the electrical<br>power systems provisions necessary for<br>both new and operating nuclear power<br>plants. It applies to all electrical power<br>systems important to safety in nuclear<br>power plants and to the preferred power<br>supply. For operating nuclear power plants,<br>deviations to these recommendations<br>should be analysed during Periodic Safety<br>Review and reasonable improvements<br>should be implemented.  | Considering the possible<br>difficulties of implementation<br>of the modifications.                                 |          |                                      | X        | Typically, the IAEA<br>safety guide in a<br>preamble contain<br>wording on<br>applicability to existing<br>NPPs as practicable<br>possible. It is up to MS<br>to handle<br>implementation. |  |  |
| ENIS 2         | Fig. 1                            | - Delete the dotted lines inside the figure,   | The dotted lines are misleading because there are   |          |                                      | Х        | There is a note to<br>explain meaning of   |  |  |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |  |          | RESOLUTION   |          |                                      |  |  |
|----------------|------------------|---|--|----------|--|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text   | Reason   | Accepted | Accepted, but modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection |  |  |
|                |                  | and<br>- Delete the sentence under the figure<br>"Dotted lines indicate not important to<br>safety power supply".   | no dotted lines at the level of<br>offsite power systems although<br>being systems not important to<br>safety.   |          |  |          | dotted lines.                        |  |  |
|                |                  |   | The dotted lines of Fig.2 are sufficient.  |          |  |          |                                      |  |  |
| ENIS 3         | § 2.5            | The off-site power system performs an<br>important essential role in terms of safety in<br>order to supply the onsite power systems<br>with reliable power from multiple power<br>sources | <u>Be</u> careful: The word<br>"essential" was deliberately<br>chosen by the working group<br>to avoid the possible<br>extrapolation which would<br>lead to consider the off-site<br>power system as being<br>important to safety, which is<br>not the case. | X        |  |          |                                      |  |  |
| ENIS 4         | § 2.5 (1)        | (1) Main generator Grid power supply via<br>auxiliary transformers.   | The main generator is not an<br>off-site power source. It is an<br>on-site power source.   |          | The off-site power<br>system performs an<br>essential role in<br>terms of safety in<br>supplying the on-site<br>power systems <b>with</b><br>reliable power from<br>multiple power<br>sources: (1) main<br>generator via<br>auxiliary<br>transformers; (2) grid<br>power supply via the<br>standby transformers.<br>The off-site power<br>system is part of the<br>preferred power<br>supply (see Fig. 2). | X        |                                      |  |  |
| ENIS 5         | § 2.5 (2)        | (2) Grid power supply via the standby transformers. The off-site power system is part of the preferred power supply (see Fig.2).  | On Fig.2, there is only one standby transformer.   | X        |  |          |                                      |  |  |
| ENIS 6         | § 2.34           | High grid reliability is important essential  | Be careful (see comment n°2 §  | х        |  |          |                                      |  |  |

|                |  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   |          | RESC                              | DLUTION  |   |
|----------------|--|--|---|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.                                 | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection   |
|                |  | for safe and reliable electrical power supply<br>in a nuclear power plant. The transmission<br>system operator has the responsibility to<br>ensure reliable electrical power supply to<br>the nuclear power plant as well as the<br>responsibility for transmitting its power to<br>the electrical distribution operators.   | <u>2.5 (1)</u> ): If a high grid<br>reliability is "important" for<br>safe, it is easy to state that the<br>grid is "important to safety",<br>which is not the case.  |          |                                   |          |   |
| ENIS 7         | § 5.52 (new 5.42)                                | Associated circuits<br>When it is impractical to provide adequate<br>physical separation and isolation from<br>electrical faults between a safety circuit and<br>a circuit of a lower class non-safety<br>function, the lower class non-safety circuit<br>(associated circuit) should be :<br>a. Analysed or tested to demonstrate<br>that the association does not<br>unacceptably degrade the safety class<br>circuits with which it is associated,<br>b. Identified as part of the safety<br>division with which it is associated, and<br>c. Physically separated from other<br>components in the same manner as the<br>circuits of the safety division with which<br>it is associated. | Due to the deletion of § 5.35<br>("Items that are part of safety<br>systems should be physically<br>separated from items of lower<br>safety classification"), the<br>notion of associated circuits<br>concerns only non-safety<br>circuits. |          |                                   | X        | Not all MS have<br>classification scheme<br>with "non-safety" and<br>"safety" SSC.  |
| ENIS 8         | Add a new §<br>between §<br>5.129 and §<br>5.130 | <ul> <li>Cable separation</li> <li>When it is impractical to provide adequate physical separation from electrical faults between safety classified cables and a non-safety classified cable, the non-safety cable (associated cable) should be :</li> <li>a- Analysed or tested to demonstrate that the association does not unacceptably degrade the safety class cables with which it is associated,</li> <li>b- Identified as part of the safety division with which it is associated,</li> </ul>   | Applying the concept of<br>"associated circuits" to the<br>cables leads to the concept of<br>"associated cables".   |          |                                   | x        | This is a compensatory<br>measure applicable to<br>existing plants. This<br>should be handled by<br>MS for existing plants. |

|                |  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |   | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |   |  |
|----------------|--|---|---|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.                       | Proposed new text   | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection   |  |
|                |  | and<br>c- Physically separated from other<br>cables in the same manner as the<br>cables of the safety division with<br>which it is associated.  |   |            |                                   |          |   |  |
| ENIS 9         | § 7.12                                 | It is preferred that two breakers be provided<br>A single breaker is satisfactory even though<br>it may be preferred to provide two breakers<br>to disconnect each preferred power supply<br>feed to a safety system bus. See, for<br>example, Fig.3  | Two breakers are not mandatory.   |            |                                   | x        | This is a compensatory<br>measure applicable to<br>existing plants. This<br>should be handled by<br>MS for existing plants. |  |
| ENIS 10        | § 8.1                                  | An alternate AC power supply should be<br>provided at or near the plant if the plant's<br>design depends upon AC power to bring the<br>plant to a controlled state following loss of<br>offsite power and safety standby power<br>sources.  | Alternate AC power supply is<br>used for coping with a station<br>blackout where offsite and<br>safety standby power sources<br>are lost.   | X          |                                   |          |   |  |
| JP 1           | Para 2.9/L5                            | An alternating current (AC) power system.<br>The functions of the assigned AC loads will<br>tolerate a certain interruption in the power<br>supply. Usually the AC power system<br>includes a standby AC power sources and<br>an Alternate (dedicated) AC power source.   | Clarification.<br>It is likely to understand that<br>AAC is a dedicated power<br>source for only use of SBO<br>and not for other use as refer<br>to definitions in page 92. Clear<br>expression should be<br>necessary. | X          |                                   |          |   |  |
| JP 2           | Para. 2.16<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence | The design of the on-site power system<br>should take into consideration the<br>limitations of <u>capability on</u> off-site power<br>system and its impact on nuclear safety.  | Clarification for meaning of<br>what kinds of "limitations" are<br>assuming here.   | X          |                                   |          |   |  |
| JP 3<br>JP 4   | Para. 5.4/ L3                          | The impact of such events on all the on-site<br>electrical power systems (AC and DC) (see<br>Fig. 5) should be evaluated and confirmed<br>by specific analysis <u>or calculation</u> that the<br>allowable voltage and frequency<br>requirements are not exceeded and the<br>protection system is adequate.<br>The plant's capability to maintain | Clarification.<br>Usually, analysis doesn't<br>include a simple calculation.<br>Clarification between   | X          |                                   | X        | Specific analysis<br>typically contains<br>calculation too.   |  |

|                |                         | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |  | RESOLUTION |  |          |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|------------|--|----------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.        | Proposed new text  | Reason   | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection   |
|                | L2, L4                  | fundamental safety functions and to remove<br>decay heat from spent fuel should be<br>analysed for the period that the plant is in a<br><u>station</u> blackout condition and adequate<br>provisions should be included in the design<br>to prevent any significant fuel damage for<br>the period that the plant is in a <u>station</u><br>blackout condition. | "blackout" and "station<br>blackout" because there are<br>much different meanings. The<br>same expression is shown in<br>para. 5.39. |            |  |          |  |
| FR 1           | Fig 2 note              | Some plant designs <u>do may</u> not require<br>safety standby power sources. All nuclear<br>power plants are expected to have safety<br>DC power supplies.  |  | x          |  |          |  |
| FR 2           | 3.10                    | The Electrical system functions should then<br>be categorized on the basis of their safety<br>significance <del>, using a constant risk</del> -<br><del>approach,</del> with account taken of the three<br>following factors   | Superfluous (not anymore in DS367)   | X          |  |          |  |
| FR 3           | 4.10                    |  | An additional bullet might be<br>added to cover the need for<br>connection points (for<br>mobile/off-site equipment)                 | x          | Included in section 8<br>"8.17 The plant<br>design shall include<br>the necessary<br>features to enable the<br>use of non-permanent<br>power sources which<br>may be available at<br>the site or not". |          |  |
| FR 4           | 5.34                    | Merge 5.34 with 5.33   | Same topic   |            |  | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras |
| FR 5           | 5.132                   | Merge 5.132 with 5.131   | Same topic   |            |  | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras |
| FR 6           | 5.134<br>5.135<br>5.136 | Merge 5.134 to 5.136 :<br>5.134. Medium voltage AC electrical power<br>systems should preferably be high<br>impedance grounded <u>as</u> 5.135. High<br>impedance grounding limits fault current<br>and allows continued operation of the<br>affected equipment.5.136. Other grounding   | Same topic   |            |  | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |   |
|----------------|------------------|--|---|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection  |
|                |                  | solutions such as solid grounded or<br>insulated system may <u>also</u> be used when<br>justified.   |   |            |                                   |          |   |
| FR 7           | 5.165            | Merge 5.165 with 5.164   | Same topic  |            |                                   | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras  |
| FR 8           | 5.177            |  | 5.177 might be better located<br>before 5.216 (ageing aspects)                  |            |                                   | x        | 5.177 is related to<br>Equipment qualification<br>programme. 5.216 is<br>related to Qualified life<br>of environmentally<br>qualified components. |
| FR 9           | 5.239            | The test programme should define<br>processes for periodic tests that:<br><u>a)</u> Ensure the safety of the plant during the<br>actual testing;   | End of sentence should be in<br>the bullet list                                 | x          |                                   |          | Already corrected by<br>editor  |
| FR 10          | 5.257            | Merge 5.257 with 5.256   | Same topic  |            |                                   | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras  |
| FR 11          | 5.262            | Merge 5.262 with 5.260   | Same topic  |            |                                   | X        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras  |
| FR 12          | 5.272            | Merge 5.272 with 5.270:<br>5.270. Conductors in containment<br>penetrations should be protected by<br>redundant safety protective devices that<br>operate separate interrupting devices.<br><u>However, 5.272.</u> A containment penetration<br>that can indefinitely withstand the<br>maximum current available due to a fault<br>inside the containment does not need<br>redundant protection. | Same topic.   |            |                                   | X        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras  |
| FR 13          | 5.276<br>5.277   | Locate 5.276 and 5.277 after 5.280   | Specific consideration for<br>some system, thus better after<br>general aspects | x          |                                   |          |   |
| FR 14          | 5.279            | Locate 5.279 before 5.278  |   | Х          |                                   |          |   |
| FR 15          | 5.289            | Means should be provided to automatically initiate and control all safety actions related  | Clarification and better interface with 5.290                                   |            |                                   | х        | This is a compensatory measure applicable to  |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |  | RESOLUTION |                                      |          |  |
|----------------|------------------|---|--|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text to electrical systems unless manual action alone is demonstrated as acceptable.   | Reason   | Accepted   | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection<br>existing plants. This<br>should be handled by<br>MS for existing plants. |
| FR 16          | 5.292            | Merge 5.292 with 5.291  | Same topic.  |            |                                      | х        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras                                     |
| FR 17          | 5.295            | Some designs have standby AC power<br>sources that are not <u>designated as</u> safety<br><u>system support features</u> <del>classified</del> . The<br>general guidance for safety standby AC<br>power sources applies, but the degree of<br>equipment qualification, design<br>confirmation and documentation is<br>according to principles for safety related<br>components. | The term "safety classified"<br>may be misunderstood (safety<br>related items are safety<br>classified). Better consistency<br>with IAEA glossary. | X          |                                      |          |  |
| FR 18          | 5.296            | Plants which do not require safety classified<br>standby AC power sources <u>designated as</u><br><u>safety system support features</u> should have<br>safety related standby AC power sources to<br>provide reliable power for defence in depth<br>functions that supplement and reduce the<br>challenges to the safety systems.   | See previous comment   | X          |                                      |          |  |
| FR 19          | 6.5              | Merge 6.5 with 6.4  | Same topic   |            |                                      | X        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras                                     |
| FR 20          | 6.12             |   |  |            |                                      | X        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras                                     |
| FR 21          | Merge            | 6.12 with 6.10  | Same topic   |            |                                      | x        | We prefer keeping<br>writing style<br>"normative" and<br>"informative" paras                                     |
| NSGC FR 1      | 1.14             | 1.14. Electrical power for security systems<br>(e.g., fences, surveillance systems, entrance<br>control) is outside the scope of this Safety<br>Guide. IAEA Nuclear Security Series No.<br>13, Ref. [11 and its implementing guide<br>NST xx [ ref xxx]] give guidance on   |  |            |                                      | X        | We prefer existing short<br>wording because<br>security is addressed in<br>5.220-5.225.                          |

|                |   | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |  | RESOLUTION |   |          |                                      |  |
|----------------|---|---|--|------------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.                                | Proposed new text   | Reason   | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows   | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection |  |
| NSGC FR 2      | 1.17 bis  | physical protection of nuclear facilities<br>Suggestion to add a paragraph mentioning<br>potential interfaces 1.17bis. While<br>designing electrical power systems security<br>requirements also have to be taken into<br>account. Potential interfaces between<br>nuclear security and safety aspects should<br>have to be analysed and managed. IAEA<br>Nuclear Security Series No. 13, Ref. [11]<br>give guidance on security for nuclear<br>facilities  | Potential interfaces between<br>nuclear safety and nuclear<br>security should be mentioned   |            |   | x        | Covered by 5.220-5.225               |  |
| NSGC FR 3      | CONTROL<br>OF ACCESS<br>p40                     | 5.224. IAEA Nuclear Security Series No. 4,<br>Ref. [10], and No. 13, Ref. [11] give<br>guidance on security for nuclear power<br>plants and the coordination of nuclear<br>safety and nuclear security. As nuclear<br>security also requires for physical access<br>control requirements provisions for control<br>as regard safety and security should be<br>developed together to benefit from potential<br>synergies IAEA Nuclear Security Series<br>No. 13, Ref. [11] give guidance on security<br>for nuclear facilities | Paragraphs 5.220 to 5.223<br>refer to control of access to<br>prevent errors and mistakes<br>(not as regard nuclear<br>security). Moreover NSS4 and<br>NNS13 do not give<br>information on coordination of<br>nuclear safety and nuclear<br>security.                          | X          | 5.221. Access to<br>equipment in systems<br>important to safety<br>should be limited so<br>as to prevent<br>unauthorized access<br>and to reduce the<br>possibility of error or<br>malicious act.<br><b>Deleted:</b><br>"5.224. References<br>[9] and [10] provide<br>guidance on nuclear<br>security for nuclear<br>power plants". |          |                                      |  |
| RF NSGC 4      | Para 5.222,<br>Page 40,<br>CONTROL<br>OF ACCESS | 5.222. Effective methods include<br>appropriate combinations of physical<br>security protection systems, e.g., locked<br>enclosures, locked rooms, alarms on<br>enclosure doors, and administrative<br>measures.  | Terminology should be<br>consistent with the NSS13<br>(INFCIRC/225/Revision 5)   | x          |   |          |                                      |  |
| USA 1          | 1.2,<br>Sent. 2                                 | It reflects changes that were made to <u>the</u><br><u>current revision of SSR 2/1, issued in 2012</u> .<br>requirement 68 as a result of Fukushima<br>accident lessons learned.  | The wording implies that<br>(potentially) ALL issues<br>resulting from the Fukushima<br>event have been incorporated<br>in SSR 2/1 and also in DS430.<br>That is not correct. The<br>mitigating strategies from the<br>lessons learnt at Fukushima<br>involve diverse means of | x          | This Safety Guide<br>provides<br>recommendations on<br>the necessary<br>characteristics of<br>electrical power<br>systems for nuclear<br>power plants, and of<br>the processes for  |          |                                      |  |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  | RESOLUTION   |          |  |          |                                   |
|----------------|------------------|---|--|----------|--|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text   | Reason   | Accepted | Accepted, but modified as follows  | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection |
|                |                  |   | restoring AC and DC power to<br>the critical equipment at a<br>nuclear plant as the onsite<br>systems may be degraded and<br>unable to support safe<br>shutdown conditions over an<br>extended period. There are<br>industry efforts sponsored by<br>IAEA, USNRC and IEC that<br>will address the robustness of<br>electrical systems post<br>Fukushima that may be<br>incorporated into the next<br>revision of DS430 |          | developing these<br>systems, in order to<br>meet the safety<br>requirements of SSR-<br>2/1 [1]. It reflects<br>revisions that have<br>been made to Ref. [1]<br>and in particular to<br>Requirement 68. |          |                                   |
| USA 2          | 1.10,<br>Sent. 4 | For example, in plants with passive<br>engineered safety features, the<br>classification of the electrical power<br>systems may be substantially different than<br><u>that</u> shown in Fig. 2.   | Editorial, add the word that.  | X        |  |          |                                   |
| USA 3          | 1.11             | Additional recommendations applicable to<br>electronic devices used in the control and<br>protection of the plant electrical power<br>systems are given in the Safety Guide for<br><u>Instrumentation and Control (</u> I&C)<br>systems, DS431, Ref. [3]. | Editorial added<br>Instrumentation and Control   | X        | 1.11. Additional<br>recommendations<br>applicable to<br>electronic devices<br>used in the control<br>and protection of the<br>plant's electrical<br>power systems are<br>provided in Ref. [2].         |          |                                   |
| USA 4          | 1.20.            | Section 5 provides general<br>recommendations that apply to all<br><u>alternating current (AC) and direct current</u><br>(DC) electrical power systems.   | Editorial to introduce the<br>acronyms AC and DC as the<br>figures use these acronyms<br>before they are introduced in<br>the text.  | X        |  |          |                                   |
| USA 5          | 1.23             | Section 8 provides recommendations that<br>are specific to the design of alternate AC<br>(AAC) power supplies.  | Introduce acronym AAC  | x        |  |          |                                   |
| USA 6          | 2.2,<br>sent. 2  | Alternate alternating current (AAC) power<br>supplies can also supply the safety power<br>systems in design extension conditions.   | Editorial, since acronym AC has been introduced above; or use AAC.   | X        | Alternate AC power<br>supplies can also<br>supply the safety<br>power systems in<br>design extension   |          |                                   |

|                |                  | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER   |   | RESOLUTION |   |          |                                      |
|----------------|------------------|--|---|------------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No. | Proposed new text  | Reason  | Accepted   | Accepted, but<br>modified as follows<br>conditions. | Rejected | Reason for<br>modification/rejection |
| USA 7          | 2.4,<br>Sent. 2  | The off-site power system will ideally<br>normally provide AC power to the plant<br>during all modes of operation and in all<br>plant states.  | Editorial   | X          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 8          | 2.4,<br>Sent. 4  | The boundary is often generally at the<br>bushings on the grid side of the transformer<br>that connects to the transmission voltage, or<br>on the grid side of the high voltage breaker<br>closest to the plant.                         | Editorial   | x          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 9          | 2.9              | An alternating current (AC) power<br>system<br>A direct current (DC) power system<br>An uninterruptible AC power system<br>(UPS)   | Editorial – acronyms defined<br>earlier, and introduce UPS  | X          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 10         | 2.20             | The electrical power systems, at all voltage levels, are support systems for <u>many most</u> of the plant equipment.  | Editorial   | X          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 11         | 3.5              | The draft Safety Guide DS 367  | Is this still a "draft"?<br>Recommend deletion of the<br>word draft in 3.5, or insertion<br>in 3.14, and References, as<br>appropriate. | x          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 12         | 3.8              | "cause a PIE"  | Explain PIE   | х          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 13         | 5.3              | External and internal events can cause<br>symmetrical and asymmetrical<br>perturbations in the plant <del>and</del> . These events<br>can be initiated:  | Editorial for clarity   | X          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 14         | 5.8,<br>Sent. 2  | "considered and analysed as a DEC event."  | Define DEC  | х          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 15         | 5.34             | If it is necessary to power non-safety loads<br>from the safety electrical power systems<br>they should be <del>considered</del> isolated by safety<br>classified isolation devices.   | Editorial for clarity   | X          |   |          |                                      |
| USA 16         | 5.35             | An example of a preferred isolation device<br>is a <u>safety grade</u> circuit breaker that is<br>automatically tripped by an accident signal<br>or loss of voltage signal generated within<br>the same safety division as the isolation | Editorial   | X          |   |          |                                      |

|                |                   | COMMENTS BY REVIEWER  |           | RESOLUTION |                                   |          |                                   |  |
|----------------|-------------------|---|-----------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Comment<br>No. | Para/Line<br>No.  | Proposed new text   | Reason    | Accepted   | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection |  |
|                |                   | device.   |           |            |                                   |          |                                   |  |
| USA 17         | 5.106<br>a.       | To carry safely the currents of the main<br>circuits and branch circuits required under<br>allowable voltage variations;  | Editorial | x          |                                   |          |                                   |  |
| USA 18         | 5.111,<br>Sent. 1 | Generally the design ensures that cables,<br>that are part of safety systems, are routed or<br>protected <del>so</del> to ensure that <del>neither</del> external<br>events such as a fire, <del>nor</del> failure of <u>rotating</u><br>mechanical equipment <u>or failure of support</u><br>systems <del>can</del> more do not damage more than<br>the minimum set that affect more than is<br>justified in the safety analysis report<br>(normally one division of any safety<br>group). | Editorial | X          |                                   |          |                                   |  |