

Step 4b Resolution Table LATE: DPP DS-530 The Management System for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Country/Organization: France, Israel, Pakistan			Date: 4 Nov 2021				
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
PAK-1	Section 7 (Overview)	6.MEASUREMENT, ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	As existing title of section is limiting its scope hence it needs to be rephrased as “MEASUREMENT, ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT” in order to broaden its scope as per requirement 13 and requirement 14 of IAEA GSR part 2. As these requirements cover management system, leadership for safety and safety culture.	X			
PAK-2	Section 5 (Sope)all activities related to the transport of radioactive material, including the design, testing, manufacture, inspection, modification, maintenance, and repair of packagings, and the preparation, consignment, loading, carrying	Requirements regarding modification and inspection are mentioned in Para 4.30 and para 4.31 of IAEA GSR part 2. Therefore, proposed areas may be included in the scope.	X	“modification”	X	“inspection” The scope should be consistent with para. 106 of SSR-6 (Rev. 1) (see item 3. of Annex I). The reference to GSR Part 2 paras 4.30 and 4.31 is not really appropriate because it mainly concerns

							<p>general processes and activities and not specific aspects related to a packaging as outlined in para. 106 of SSR-6 (Rev. 1). While modification of a packaging could be considered to be an important aspect to be added here, inspection would unnecessarily broaden the scope because it is an activity which can be applied, among others, to all aspects in transport to demonstrate compliance</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							with the applicable requirements .
IL-1	Section 6	The sentence <i>'It is not expected that other international organizations will co-sponsor this publication'</i> most probably reflects the existing relevant experience and knowledge of those who prepared this DPP. Probably so it will happen (no other international co-sponsors). Still, considering that TRANSSC (as the leading Review Committee) includes more than 10 organizations as observers, (inter alia IATA, ICAO, IMO and WNTI), we would like to suggest to consider rephrasing that sentence so as to not "deprive" these organizations from co-sponsorship possibility in a-priory manner...	Completeness	X	See text in Section 6 of the revised DPP that addresses this issue.		

IL-2	Section 6 and Annexes I and II	<p><u>General Remark:</u> IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-26, (Advisory Material for the IAEA Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (2018 Edition), being now under revision (DS496), is mentioned in the present DPP an its Annexes seven times. The working groups emphasized the consensus regarding the need to wait for the final text of the SSG-26 revision before reviewing TS-G-1.4 (for DS 530). In view of the above, we suggest to include in the present DPP information about the status of the SSG-26 revision (DS496) or its expected publication date.</p>	Clarity	X	See text in Section 6 of the revised DPP that addresses this issue.		
------	--------------------------------	---	---------	---	---	--	--

FRA-1	Annex I and II	<p>Joining direct notes taken from WG meetings as annex of a DPP is a bit unusual. Could please consider deleting these annexes and adding in para. 3 “justification” the summary of the subjects and areas of interest that should be updated in this revision process</p>				X	<p>Annexes 1 and 2 are “feedback analysis reports” as described in SPESS. Such reports should be attached to the DPP (Step 1). To clarify this point, “Feedback Analysis Report” has been added to the titles of the annexes.</p>
-------	----------------	---	--	--	--	---	---