Committee Level Review – Step Three

			COMMENTS BY					RES	OLUTION
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comn	nission				
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	CNSC	Section 7, Overview Tentative table of contents	The scope states: "The publication will establish safety requirements that apply to all facilities and activities related to radioactive waste management, covering all steps from waste generation up to disposal, including processing (pretreatment, treatment, and conditioning), storage, and transport." In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and storage but does not include a subsection on transport. Recommend including a subsection in proposed section 4 on the "Transport of radioactive waste".	For completeness, to include a subsection on each of the steps in the management of radioactive waste.				X	the actual version of the publication does not have any sub section on :transport" which is specifically addressed in the corresponding relevant SR publication
2	CNSC	Section 7, Overview	The scope states: "The publication will cover the safety aspects relevant to the	For completeness, to include a			X		Handling is referred to in several places in the current version of GSR Part 5. Handling is not Per Se a step in the

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

			COMMENTS BY					RES	OLUTION
	Country	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comm	nission				
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
		Tentative table of contents	radioactive waste form itself, in respect of its subsequent handling, treatment, conditioning, and storage, the safety of the predisposal radioactive waste management facilities and activities in respect of protection of workers, the public and the environment, and the safety implications inherent in the selection of waste management options." In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and storage but does not include a subsection on handling. Recommend including a subsection in proposed section 4 on the "Handling of radioactive waste".	subsection on each of the steps in the management of radioactive waste.					management of RW but a component in all the steps. At this pointy a dedicated sub section is not though to be necessary (as in existing GSR Part 5) but the drafting might consider it though
	CNSC	Section 7, Overview Tentative table of	In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and				X		Characterization is already in subsection 4.1 while WAC is in 4.4

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

			COMMENTS BY					RES	OLUTION
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comn	nission				
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
		contents	storage but does not include subsections on waste characterization, classification or waste acceptance criteria. Recommend including subsections in proposed section 4 on the "Characterization of radioactive waste, Characterization of radioactive waste, and Waste Acceptance Criteria".						
3	CNSC	Section 6	Include IAEA SSR-4 in the list.	1. SSR-5 is included. 2. Many safety topics that are covered in both SSR-4 and SSR-5 are identical and applicable to the same nuclear facilities. 3. Essential efforts are needed to improve inconsistency	X				

Committee Level Review – Step Three

			COMMENTS BY			RESOLUTION			
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comn	nission				
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
				in treatment of the same safety topics in SSR-4 and SSR-5.					

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Country pages	y/Organiz	zation: FRANCE	Date:					
Comme nt No.	Para/Li ne No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1.		SCOPE: it is indicated in the DPP that the scope will include spent nuclear fuel declared as radioactive waste and disused sealed radioactive sources declared as radioactive waste. It is satisfactory to include in the new development of GSR Part 5 the spent nuclear fuel declared as radioactive waste and disused sealed radioactive sources declared as radioactive waste. It could be envisaged also to include in the scope of GSR Part 5 the storage of orphan and/or disused sealed radioactive sources (even not declared as radioactive waste). At least, it could be mentioned that GSR Part 5 is also applicable to the storage of orphan and disused radioactive sources	There is still a gap in IAEA safety standards regarding the storage of orphan and/or disused radioactive sources not declared as radioactive waste. It may help to provide the basis for peer review for MS which don't have a nuclear fuel cycle which is currently missing in IAEA safety standards.			X	Although there might indeed be a gap in the safety standards, this publication clearly and only addresses Radioactive waste. any material not considered as RW do not fall in its scope. A broader discussion could take place at the SSC on where this should be addressed	
2.		SCOPE: the management of radioactive materials which are candidate for clearance are not in the scope of the DPP DS 548. Nevertheless, these radioactive materials can be sorted, decontaminated and treated in dedicated nuclear facilities in order to be ready for being released from regulatory controls. It could be indicated in the DPP DS 548 that the publication is also applicable to the management of radioactive materials which are candidate for clearance until these materials are released from regulatory controls.	Standards concerning the management of radioactive materials candidate for clearance (sorting and decontamination) which are intended to be decontaminated in dedicated			X	Same approach as previous comment. The title of the publication is "Predisposal management of RW"	

Committee Level Review – Step Three

3.	Annex	In 2022, the IAEA has completed an assessment of the	It is of high importance to first	X		Comment is unclear.
		applicability of the IAEA safety standards to non-water-	define "passive" to ensure that the		,	What is the request? It
		cooled reactors (NWCRs) and small modular reactors	corresponding requirement is		:	sems this is related to
		(SMR) The findings of the abovementioned	effective. The definition of		1	the technical content of
		assessment are presented in IAEA Safety Reports Series	"passive component "in the IAEA		1	the publication while it
		No. 123 and can be downloaded as a preprint version at	safety glossary is quite open and		i	is still a DPP. This
		the following link: SRS-123.	would not be sufficient in the		1	might be and will be
		It is important to enhance that the consideration of such	current context.		(considered during the
		finding need preliminary detailed analysis considering			(drafting of the SR.
		notably that:	Tit shall be clear that the potential			-
		• The notion of "passive" is still to be clarified,	new requirement does not allow to			
		• Existing requirements should not be	downgrade other safety			
		downgrading by postulating the allowance of	expectations. As mentioned by the			
		lower level of supervision	SMR regulator forum, "the			
		•	Graded Approach means that the			
			level of analysis used to comply			
			with a safety requirement should			
			be commensurate with the			
			potential hazard associated with			
			the facility without adversely			
			affecting safety": the requirement			
			is not modified.			

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer: S	SSTC NRS		Page 1 of 2					
Country/Or	ganization: Ul	kraine	Date: 10 May 2023					
Comment	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.	No.				modified as follows		modification/rejection	
	General	The tentative contents for the	For better understanding			X	Too early. The	
1.		proposed publication is too brief.					revision concerns	
		The list of issues that will be	future requirements.				the whole	
		considered in each Chapter of GSR					publication. The	
		Part 5 needs to be detailed.					DPP gives general	
							points that will be	
							addressed but this is	
							not meant to be neither exhaustive	
							nor comprehensive	
2.	General	The chiestive of the proposed	Eas botton undoestanding	v			noi comprehensive	
2.	General	The objective of the proposed publication is to establish safety	_	Λ				
		requirements for the predisposal	the proposed scope of future requirements.					
		management of all types of	ruture requirements.					
		radioactive waste including spent						
		nuclear fuel declared as radioactive						
		waste, disused sealed radioactive						
		sources declared as radioactive						
		waste, and Radwaste from						
		processing of materials containing						
		naturally occurring radionuclides.						
		It is desirable to establish						
		requirements for these types of						
		waste in separate SDS Sections,						

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer: S		L	Page 1 of 2					
Country/Or Comment	ganization: Ul Para/Line	Proposed new text	Date: 10 May 2023 Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.	No.	1 Toposed new text	Reason	Accepted	modified as follows	Rejected	modification/rejection	
		taking into account the specific features of the above types of waste.						
3.	Page 5, paras. 7, 2.1	Section 2 "Protection of human health and the environmental in the predisposal management of radioactive waste" includes subsection 2.1 Radioactive waste management. It should be explained whether it is planned to establish the requirements for waste management system in this subsection? It is desirable to add after Section 2 a new Section "Management system for the predisposal management of radioactive waste" considering resource management and process implementation for small and large waste producers.	Management system for the predisposal management of radioactive waste is missing.			X	The current version of GSR part 5 addresses Management system in section 3.5 under "integrated approach to safety"	
4.	Page 5, para. 7, Section 4	It is proposed to include in Section 4 "Stages of predisposal radioactive waste management" a new subsection in which aspects of the integrated process of radioactive waste management should be	The objective is to provide more complete information			X	These elements are already addressed in section 4.1	

${\bf Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three}$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer: S	SSTC NRS		Page 1 of 2					
Country/Or	ganization: U	kraine	Date: 10 May 2023					
Comment	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.	No.				modified as follows		modification/rejection	
		considered, including the issues of						
		waste characterization and						
		classification. Issues concerning						
		documentation related to the						
		radioactive waste management at						
		various stages could also be						
		addressed.						
5.	Page 5,	The subsection needs to be added in	•			X	Section is	
	para. 7,	1					exclusively	
	Section 5	publication in which the	case should be considered				addressing the	
		requirements concerning					demonstration of	
		development of safety case of	1				safety through the	
		activities and facilities for	development and				"safety case and	
		predisposal radioactive waste	operation of facilities and				safety assessment"	
		management and performing a	activities. At that, the				and periodic safety	
		corresponding safety assessment	peculiarities of safety				reviews	
		should be established.	assessment and safety					
			case for small and large					
			waste producers should be					
			considered.					

Committee Level Review – Step Three

	Reviewer:	Federal Ministr	COMMENTS BY REVIEWER ry for the Environment, Nature Conservatio	n Nuclear Safety and		RESOLU	ITION	
	Consumer	r Protection (BM	MUV) (with comments of GRS)	Page 1 of 1				
	Country/O	rganization: Ger	many	Date: 17-04-2023				
Relev ance	Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejec tion
2	1	2 Background List of safety standards	IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GS-R-1, Legal and Governmental Infrastructure for Nuclear, Radiation, Radioactive Waste and Transport Safety (superseded in 2010 and 2014 (GSR Part 1)) - IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GS-R-2, Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency (superseded in 2015 (GRS Part 7))	It would be helpful if the current names of the documents were mentioned, e.g. in the form of the proposed additions.			X	Usual template, according to the development process of safety standards, including DPPs (SPESS) has been followed and agreed by internal coordination committee review

Committee Level Review – Step Three

			COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESOLUT	TION	
	Consumer		stry for the Environment, Nature Conse BMUV) (with comments of GRS) ermany	rvation, Nuclear Safety and Pages: 1 Date: 12.05.2023				
Rele- vanz	Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/reject ion
	1.	Page 3 5. Scope	The publication will establish safety requirements that apply to all facilities and activities related to radioactive waste management, covering all steps from waste generation up to disposal, including processing (pretreatment, treatment, and conditioning), storage, and transport inside as well as transport outside the facility.	As transport inside and outside the facilities is often regulated by different Requirements in various IAEA Safety Standards, we would like to suggest a clear statement related to this topic in the scope of this document as well.			X	Not really needed at this point. The DPP refers to "transport" in general which includes all aspects. Transport is addressed in specific dedicated publication. The publication will refer to the appropriate publication on transport

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer:	WASSC mer	mber						
Page of								
Country/Or		Israel/NLSO						
Date: May								
Comment	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.	No.				modified as follows		modification/rejection	
						X	It is in 5.2 already	
1	Page 5,	In the proposed table of contents,						
	section 5	section 5, Add sub sections (i.e. 5.4	for the different steps in					
		etc.) for the topics: Location and	the lifecycle of the facility					
		design of the facility, Construction	is missing.					
		and commissioning, operation,						
		Shutdown and decommissioning.						

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

	COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: WASSC m	ember					
Page 1 of 1						
	: Republic of Korea/Korea Institute of Nuc	clear Safety				
Date: May 12, 2023						
Comment Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified	Rejected	Reason for
No. No.	577 Ci		**	as follows		modification/rejection
1 p.3/7	o The first sentence in the section 5 should be modified as follows; - The publication will establish ~~~ related to radioactive waste management, covering all steps~~. - The publication will establish ~~~ related to predisposal radioactive waste management, covering all steps~~~.	o It is necessary to clarify the scope of the publication.	X			

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer:		ember/Experts (M. Amir/M. Saqib)	Page 01 of 01				
Country/Or	ganization: Pal	kistan/PNRA	Date:12-05-2023				
Comment	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for
No.					modified as follows		modification/rejection
2	Section 3 Section 7	Justification provided need to be elaborated.	The justification provided gives no idea that what new requirements/ areas will be included in the revised version of GSR Part 5. Even if we see the proposed contents provided in section 7 of the DPP, no new area has been proposed (all are the same as in the existing version of the standard).			X	Too early, the drafting of the document has not started.
3	Section 4. OBJECTIVE / LAST LINE	in accordance with a graded approach, for the protection of workers, the public and the environment.	Missing word	X			The text "in accordance with a graded approach, for the protection of workers, the public and the environment" is not in the DPP

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIE	RESOLUTION				
Reviewer	: WASSC,	Japan					
Page of	f Total 2	pages					
Country/C	Organizatio	n: Japan/Nuclear Regulation Authority	,				
Date: 12 I	May 2023						
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	General	A new overarching requirement on graded approach should be added to this proposed publication like Requirement 2 of GSR Part 6.	Overarching requirement on graded approach is included in GSR Part 1 (Rev.1), GSR Part 2, GSR Part 3, GSR Part 4 (Rev.1) and GSR Part 6. Graded approach is also important for GSR Part 5 due to wide scope of predisposal management.		X		This will be considered during the drafting process
2	5. Scope Para 1/ Line 3 (p.3)	From the definition of "predisposal management," transport is covered as mentioned in the first paragraph of Section 5. So, could you clarify whether transport is addressed in this proposed publication and add the item to table of contents if necessary?	Clarification.			X	Transport is addressed in dedicated publications, the proposed publication will refer to the appropriate publication(s) on transport
3	6. (p.4 or 5)	Add GSG-16 "Leadership, management and culture for safety in radioactive waste management" to this list.	Clarification. GSG-16 is a related IAEA publication.	X			
4	7. Overview 4. (p.5)	Are characterization and segregation of radioactive waste addressed in 4.1?	Confirmation. Characterization and segregation are essential, however there is no explicit description of them in Section 4.	X			Yes it is addressed under 4.1 in the existing publication already
5	7. Overview 4. and 5. (p.5)	Add a "General" section to chapter 4. and 5.in the tentative table of contents in the DPP, respectively, to be described	Confirmation. Those descriptions are important and should be discussed here.	X			

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIE	RESOLUTION				
Reviewer	: WASSC,	Japan					
	f Total 2						
Country/C	Organizatio	n: Japan/Nuclear Regulation Authority	•				
Date: 12 I	May 2023						
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
		the equivalent of 4.1-4.5 and 5.1-5.2written in the previous version.					
6	7. Overview 4.4 (p.5)	The draft of this section should include the perspective of the systematic control of radioactive waste management (from generation to disposal) under a series of management systems (FIG. 1 of GSG- 16).	Comment. It is important for predisposal management of radioactive waste to comply with waste acceptance criteria of a disposal facility.	X			
7	7. Overview 5.2 (p.5)	5.2 Development and operation of predisposal radioactive waste management facilities	More appropriate title. Consistency with Section title.	X			
8	ANNEX Para3/ Line5 from the bottom (p.7)	predisposal management (including storage)	Editorial. From the definition of "predisposal management."	X			

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer: Kuryndin Anton, Russia, Russia Country/Organization: Russia/SEC NRS			Page 1 of 1 Date: 12-05-2023				
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
4	Para 6	Add new safety guide IAEA INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulatory Control of Radioactive Discharges to the Environment, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSG-9, IAEA, Vienna	Adding GSG-9 is needed because the current version of GSR Part 5 includes paras related to discharges of effluents generated during predisposal management of radioactive waste. Removing this safety aspect from DS548 is not advisable.	X			

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEW	ER	RESOLUTION				
Reviewe	r: Several Review	wers	Page of					
Country	Organization: IR	AN/ Environmental Protection, R	<u> </u>					
	_	EPREM)/ Iran Nuclear Regulatory						
(INRA)	ing Department (1	Er RENT), Hun Pacical Regulatory	ridilority					
Date:202	02 05 12							
		D 1		A . 1	A . 11 .	D: . 1	D C	
Commen t No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1	General		This DPP is too general and		modified as follows	X	Usual template, aas	
1	Comment		the DPP template is not			21	indicated by SPESS	
	Comment							
			followed.				process, X has been	
							followed. Internal	
			DPP template (<a 7.="" href="https://www-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>approval has been</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ns.iaea.org/committees/css/def</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>given</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><u>ault.asp?fd=944&dt=0</u>) states:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>//</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>" overview<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>					
			(Describe the expected general					
			content of the publication, such as its					
			structure, and any other points to take into account during drafting.					
			Attach more detailed information,					
			where available, e.g. outline of					
			chapter heading, table of contents					
			with details expected in each section					
			For revision of, or addendum to, an					
			existing publication or a batch of					
			publications, the overview is					
			expected to contain more details					
			than for proposed new publications.					
			In particular, it should highlight the					
			relevant sections to be revised in					
			each publication so as to cover in a					

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEW	VER	RESOLUTION					
Reviewer	r: Several Review	rers	Page of						
Country/	Organization: IRA	AN/ Environmental Protection,							
	_	PREM)/ Iran Nuclear Regulator							
(INRA)	0 1	,	•						
Date:202	23-05-13								
Commen t No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection		
t NO.			consistent manner the topical areas on which new information or feedback is available and support the justification for the revision." In this DPP, overview only includes the table of contents that is identical to GSR Part 5. It is not clear which sections to be revised. Also, DPP template states: "2. BACKGROUND (For a proposed new publication, describe the background on the topic not yet addressed by existing publications, as identified through a gap analysis, and attach the gap analysis report as an annex to the		modified as follows		mounication/rejection		
			DPP. For the revision of an existing publication or for revision by batch, describe briefly the previous						
			publication(s) and provide a						

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEW	ER	RESOLUTION					
Reviewer: Several Reviewers Country/Organization: IRAN/ Environmental Protection, Radiation Monitoring Department (EPREM)/ Iran Nuclear Regulatory Authori (INRA) Date:2023-05-13			Page of Ladiation Emergency and						
Commen	23-05-13 Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Aggented	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for		
t No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	modified as follows	Rejected	modification/rejection		
			summary of new information and the relevant gap and feedback analysis report, including feedback from Member States and IAEA services. Attach the feedback analysis report as an annex to the DPP.)" In this DPP, no summary of new information and the relevant gap and feedback analysis report are provided. In addition, according to the DPP template: "3. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF THE PUBLICATION (The purpose here is to justify the production of a publication, not to justify the need to address a topic, already covered in section 2.						

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEW	ER	RESOLUTION					
Reviewe	r: Several Reviev	wers	Page of						
Country/	Organization: IR	AN/ Environmental Protection, I							
_	•	EPREM)/ Iran Nuclear Regulator							
(INRA)		,							
Date:202	23-05-13								
Commen t No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection		
			For a new publication, justify in						
			particular that the topic could not be						
			addressed through the revision of, or						
			an addendum to, an existing						
			publication.						
			For a revision of an existing						
			publication, an addendum to an						
			existing publication or revision by						
			batch, provide a justification in						
			terms of timeliness for this action,						
			considering the date of the previous						
			publication(s) and the added value						
			expected for, and impact on the						
			target users of, the coverage of the						
			<u>additional topic</u>						
			For a revision of an existing						
			publication or revision by batch, if						
			the scope or objective of the						
			proposed publication is different						
			than in the existing publication,						

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWI	ER		RESC	LUTION	
Reviewe	r: Several Revie	wers	Page of				
Country/	Organization: IR	RAN/ Environmental Protection, R	adiation Emergency and				
		EPREM)/ Iran Nuclear Regulatory					
(INRA)		,	•				
Date:202	23-05-13						
Commen t No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
			provide an explanation to justify the changes)."				
			charges).				
			It seems that the scope is somehow				
			different (e.g., "including spent				
			nuclear fuel declared as radioactive				
			waste and disused sealed radioactive				
			sources declared as radioactive				
			waste" is added. But no explanation				
			to justify the changes is provided.				
			Also it is mentioned: "However,				
			areas of improvements were				
			identified which would be taken into				
			consideration when deciding on the				
			revision of GSR Part 5. What are				
			these areas?				
			Please provide more necessary				
			information and details that should				
			be considered during revision of				
			GSR Part 5.				

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer:	L Thoma	as					
Page of							
Country/Or	ganization: U	JK/Office for Nuclear Regulation					
Date: May	2023						
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	General		The UK welcomes the revision of GSR Part 5 but suggest that revision is focused on areas that are missing from the document and bringing it into line with GSR 3 etc. rather than reworking of text already considered adequate.		X Revision process will confirm that or not. The whole text will be reviewed and revised in the parts that will be needed to		
2.	Overview Section 4	Inclusion of subsections on waste characterization requirement and requirements for adequate records.	The UK considers that many issues arise in radioactive waste management because of lack of clear and comprehensive advice on both the level of waste characterization required and records of the waste, noting that many wastes may be generated, treated, packaged and stored for many decades prior to a disposal route		X		What is the proposal? Text? The document addresses characterization and records already. The revision will address it as well

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer:	L Thomas						
Page of							
Country/Org	ganization: UK	X/Office for Nuclear Regulation					
Date: May 2	2023						
Comment	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for
No.	No.				modified as follows		modification/rejection
			becoming available.				
			When providing this				
			guidance the UK would				
			welcome advice on how				
			the graded approach				
			could be adopted for				
			these issues – noting that				
			extensive characterization				
			that is expensive may be				
			disproportionate to the				
			risk from that waste to				
			future generations. The				
			same advice is required				
			related to the generation				
			and keeping of records of				
			all waste. Guidance				
			related to both				
			characterization and				
			records is needed for				
			instance where it is				
			appropriate to conduct				
			this on a homogeneous				
			waste stream basis or a				
			more heterogeneous				

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer:	L Thom	as						
Page of								
Country/Or	ganization: U	JK/Office for Nuclear Regulation						
Date: May	2023							
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
			waste package basis. A proportionate or graded approach should be applied.					
3	Overview Section 4	In subsection 4.2 we would welcome the introduction of more advice around waste packaging standards within a graded approach.	The UK considers that advice on the waste packaging to ensure that future disposal is supported would be advantageous. Where standard packaging is unsuitable the use of standard sizes of stillages is helpful in terms of disposal density and lifting operations.	X			The approval is for the DPP not the document itself which has not been revised yet, but the point is noted for consideration during the forthcoming revision	
4	Overview Section 4	Expansion of guidance on the use of Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC)	The UK considers that the development of proportionate WAC is important for future safe disposal of radioactive waste but that this must be proportionate to the	X			The approval is for the DPP not the document itself which has not been revised yet, but the point is noted for consideration	

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer:	L Thoma	as					
Page of							
Country/Or	ganization: U	K/Office for Nuclear Regulation					
Date: May 2	2023						
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
			risks to future generations and the safety of the storage and disposal facilities, to support this advice on the use of concessions to the WAC would be helpful.				during the forthcoming revision. However, the publication s a General Safety Requirement publication not a safety guide
6	section 5, para 2		There is no mention of management of waste generated in accident conditions and the potentially challenges. The UK considers that this should be included.		X		Considered to be included

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESC	LUTION	
Reviewer:	Australia - W	ASSC					
Page of.	•••						
Country/O	rganization: A	ustralia					
Date: 15/05	5/2023						
Comment	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for
No.	No.				modified as follows		modification/rejection
1	Page 5, proposed table of contents	2.3. Environmental concerns protection	The term 'concerns' can have negative connotation	X			
2	Page 5, proposed table of contents	3.5 Integrated Graded approach to safety	It is noted that there is no mention of the graded approach within this DPP. We recommend replacing the term 'integrated approach' with 'graded approach' in line with terminology used in GSR Part 3 and SSR-5.		X Approach to safety		The approach to safety could be both "integrated" and graded"

Committee Level Review – Step Three

			COMMENTS BY			RESOLUTION				
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comr	nission					
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1	CNSC	Section 7, Overview Tentative table of contents	The scope states: "The publication will establish safety requirements that apply to all facilities and activities related to radioactive waste management, covering all steps from waste generation up to disposal, including processing (pretreatment, treatment, and conditioning), storage, and transport." In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and storage but does not include a subsection on transport. Recommend including a subsection 4 on the "Transport of radioactive waste".	For completeness, to include a subsection on each of the steps in the management of radioactive waste.				X	the actual version of the publication does not have any sub section on :transport" which is specifically addressed in the corresponding relevant SR publication	
2	CNSC	Section 7, Overview	The scope states: "The publication will cover the safety aspects relevant to the	For completeness, to include a			X		Handling is referred to in several places in the current version of GSR Part 5. Handling is not Per Se a step in the	

Committee Level Review – Step Three DPP DS548 Predisposal Management of Radioactive Waste - Revision of GSR Part 5

			COMMENTS BY			RESOLUTION					
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nuclea	ar Safety Comr	nission						
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection		
		Tentative table of contents	radioactive waste form itself, in respect of its subsequent handling, treatment, conditioning, and storage, the safety of the predisposal radioactive waste management facilities and activities in respect of protection of workers, the public and the environment, and the safety implications inherent in the selection of waste management options." In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and storage but does not include a subsection on handling. Recommend including a subsection in proposed section 4 on the "Handling of radioactive waste".	subsection on each of the steps in the management of radioactive waste.					management of RW but a component in all the steps. At this pointy a dedicated sub section is not though to be necessary (as in existing GSR Part 5) but the drafting might consider it though		
	CNSC	Section 7, Overview Tentative table of	In Section 7, Overview of the DPP, the proposed section 4 (steps in the predisposal management of radioactive								
		table of	waste) includes subsections on generating, processing, and								

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

			COMMENTS BY			RESOLUTION					
	Country/	Organizatio	on: Canada / Canadian Nucle	ar Safety Comr	nission						
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Project lead response	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection		
		contents	storage but does not include subsections on waste characterization, classification or waste acceptance criteria. Recommend including subsections in proposed section 4 on the "Characterization of radioactive waste, Characterization of radioactive waste, and Waste Acceptance Criteria".								
3	CNSC	Section 6	Include IAEA SSR-4 in the list.	1. SSR-5 is included. 2. Many safety topics that are covered in both SSR-4 and SSR-5 are identical and applicable to the same nuclear facilities. 3. Essential efforts are needed to improve inconsistency							

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

			COMMENTS BY			RESOLUTION					
	Country/C	Organizatio	n: Canada / Canadian Nucle	ar Safety Comn	nission						
Comment No.	Reviewer	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason Project A lead response		Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for	modification/rejection	
				in treatment of the same safety topics in SSR- 4 and SSR-5.							
		COM	MENTS BY REVIEWER					RESC	LUTION		
Reviewer: Country/Or Date: 2023		Canada / He	Pa ealth Canada; Canadian Nucle	mission							
Comment No.	Para/Line No.		Proposed new text	Reaso	on	Accepted		pted, but d as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1	Section 5. Scope, 2 nd para	predisposaradioactive the commission radioactive or radiological radionuclical agriculture processing naturally the remedincluding		generated in	should be scope of the consistency			and to the state of the state o			

$Committee \ Level \ Review-Step \ Three$

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COM	MENTS B	REVIE	EWER					RESOLUTION			
Reviewer:	STUK						Pag	e of	f				
Country/Or	ganization: Fi	nland/STU	J K					Date:					
May 2023													
Comment No.	Para/Line No.		Proposed no	w text			Reason			Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejectio
1	3.5 integrated approach to safety	Would safeguard		n also	cover	What integra	level tion inter	of aded?	3S			X	safeguards (or rather "system of accounting for and control of nuclear materials" is addressed in the section "other provision" under requirement 21

Committee Level Review – Step Three

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION				
Reviewer:	M.C. Bossio							
Page1. of	1							
Country/Or	ganization:	Argentina /Nuclear Regulatory						
Date: May	15, 2023							
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection	
1	Page 3, Scope	The scope of this new publication will address predisposal of disused sealed sources declared as radioactive waste as well as spent fuel declared as radioactive waste. In GSR part 5, 2009, these topics were out of scope.	Needs clarification and more information on how predisposal of spent fuel and disused sources declared as radioactive waste will be addressed.			X	The DPP define the scope of the document. All RW are concerned including SF and DSRS when declared as RW.	
2	Page 5, section 7, item 4	Characterization of radioactive waste should be included in this section.	Characterization is key aspect of the pretreatment of radioactive waste management.			X	As in the actual version of the document "characterization" sits in the section "Generation of RW" which contains 2 requirements: RW generation and control and characterization and classification of RW. The structure a priori remains the same at this point	

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER		RESOLUTION			
Reviewer:	WASSC, Russia	L	Page 1 of 2				
Country/O	rganization: Rus	sia/SEC NRS	Date: 27-04-2023				
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
5	2. Background List of safety standards	It proposes to delete the List of SSs as non-informative (obsolete) or indicate the new title of the SSs which were superseded.	The List is not informative because almost all of the SSs were superseded and proposes to remove. If not, it would be helpful if the current title of the SSs is identified.			Х	We follow the usual template. This should/could be discussed at SSC level. Not specific to this DPP
6	3. Justification for the production of the publication	Key areas and aspects for which revision was identified as necessary need to be defined.	It would be helpful to identify the areas and aspects subjected to revision			X	Not at this point, this is only the DPP,. Justification is given in the corresponding section, the whole document is a priori concerned, the drafting will consider them all.

Committee Level Review – Step Three

7	4. Objective	The publication is intended for use by all entities including governments, regulatory bodies, operating organizations concerned with radioactive waste management and decision making related and other organizations, involved in radioactive waste management and development, operation, decommissioning and closure of any-facilities where radioactive waste are generated, handled, processed, stored and transported.	2)	Not only operating organisations are involved in RWM but also designers, manufactures, scientists etc. Adding of transportation is in accordance with the Section 5 of DPP.	X The publication is intended for use by all entities including governments, regulatory bodies, operating organizations concerned with radioactive waste management and decision making related to development, operation, decommissioning and closure of any facilities and where radioactive will be handled, processed, stored, as well as any other organizations, involved in	The list is not mean to be exhaustive, the text indicates "including"
8	5. Scope	The publication will establish the		asonable to add the	radioactive waste management.	
		safety requirements that apply to all facilities and activities that are	GSR P	on (para 1.13 of art 5) to identify the of the Publication.	The publication will establish safety requirements that	

Committee Level Review – Step Three DPP DS548 Predisposal Management of Radioactive Waste - Revision of GSR Part 5

		COMMENTS BY REVIEWER			RESOI	LUTION			
	WASSC, Russia Organization: Rus		Page 1 of 2 Date: 27-04-2023						
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection		
		involved in the management of radioactive waste before disposal.			apply to all facilities and activities related to predisposal management of radioactive waste, covering all steps from waste generation up to disposal, including processing (pretreatment, treatment, and conditioning), storage, and transport.				
9	5. Scope	The publication will cover the safety aspects relevant to the radioactive waste form itself, in respect of its subsequent handling, treatment, conditioning, processing and storage, the safety of the predisposal radioactive waste management facilities and activities in respect of protection of workers, the public and the environment, and the safety implications inherent in the selection of waste management options.	Term 'processing' is more accurate and meets the terminology used in the DPP. The last part seems to be unnecessary.	X					

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: United States Country/Organization: DOE	Page: 1 of 1 Date: 05 May 23						
Comment No. Para/Line No	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejec tion	
Sections and 6	The DPP Scope (5) and relevant interfaces (6) should refer to the Nuclear Security Series, in particular #13 and #11-g. The authors should consider including a section on the interface between safety and security in the outline.	Security measures and the interface with security is relevant to the scope of this proposed document.		X All IAEA safety standards are addressing safety - security interface, but the scope don't necessarily need to include it, It will be one paragraph in the publication. In addition the document will be reviewed and approved by NSGC.			

$Committee\ Level\ Review-Step\ Three$

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER					RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: Page of Country/Organization: Belgium Date:								
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rej ection	
	It sets out the objectives, criteria and requirements for the protection of human health and the environment that apply to the siting, design, construction, commissioning, operation and shutdown of facilities for the predisposal management of radioactive waste, and the requirements to be met to ensure the safety of such facilities and activities.	It sets out the objectives, criteria and requirements for the protection of human health and the environment that apply to the siting, design, construction, commissioning, operation, shutdown, decommissioning and closure of facilities for the predisposal management of radioactive waste, and the requirements to be met to ensure the safety of such facilities and activities.			P1 point 2 X It sets out the objectives, criteria and requirements for the protection of human health and the environment that apply to the siting, design, construction, commissioning, operation, shutdown and decommissioning of facilities for the predisposal management of radioactive waste, and the requirements to be met to ensure the safety of such facilities and activities.		Shutdown is already in the initial text, Closure is applicable for disposal facilities out of the scope of the document.	

Committee Level Review – Step Three

DPP DS548 Predisposal Management of Radioactive Waste - Revision of GSR Part 5

Title: IAEA DPP DS-548; Predisposal Management of Radioactive Waste, GSR Part 5 (Rev.1)

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: Javed Iqbal, WASSC Member Page 1 of 2							
Country/Organization: Pakistan/PNRA Date: 11.06.2023							
No.	Para/Line	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but	Rejected	Reason for
	No.				modified as follows		modification/rej ection
1.	General	Provide gap analysis.	The gap analysis report or feedback analysis report highlights the changes to be incorporated in the revised document and according to the SPESS B it should be the part of DPP. Further, it was agreed during the consultancy meeting on the review process for developing Safety Standards and Nuclear Security Guidance - SPESS B, held in August 2022 that IAEA and review committees (and CSS) should ensure that these reports are included in the DPP and that these reports are well elaborated. However, such report is not included in the subject DPP. Please include				
2.	Section	INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC	the same. The reference of WS-G-6.1 has been			X	DPP for revision
	6/bullet 14	ENERGY AGENCY, Storage	included in the subject DPP as interface				of WS-G-6.1 has
		of Radioactive Waste, IAEA	document. However, WS-G-6.1 is under				not yet been
		Safety Standards Series No.	revision and at DPP stage (same stage as				approved. The
		<u>WS-G-6.1</u> , IAEA, Vienna	of GSR Part 5). Therefore, it is suggested				reference will be
		(2006).	that "revised guide number instead of WS-				updated in the
			G-6.1 along with under revision" may be				course of

Committee Level Review – Step Three

			mentioned as also highlighted in the second last bullet "DS 526" please.		development of DS548
3.	Section 6	INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, IAEA Safety Glossary: Terminology Used in Nuclear Safety, Nuclear Security, Radiation Protection and Emergency Preparedness and Response, 2022 (Interim) Edition, IAEA, Vienna (2022)	Glossary may be included for referring of definition.	X	
		 INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources, IAEA, Vienna (2004) INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Guidance on the Management of Disused Radioactive Sources 2018 Edition, IAEA, Vienna 2018 	Code of Conduct and Guidance on the Management of Disused Radioactive Sources may be included to make in line with the scope as it states that "The publication will address the predisposal management of all types of radioactive waste	X	
4.	Section 7	STEPS IN THE PREDISPOSAL MANAGEMENT OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE 4.1 General	Please include a new section named "General" highlighting various steps and associated approaches of Predisposal Management of Radioactive Waste.	X	

Committee Level Review – Step Three

5.	Section 7	4. STEPS IN THE	Sections 4.1 Generation of radioactive	X	DS548 is a
		PREDISPOSAL	waste and 4.4 Radioactive waste acceptance		revision of GSR
		MANAGEMENT OF	criteria are not the steps of Predisposal		Part 5; it keeps
		RADIOACTIVE WASTE	Management of Radioactive Waste as per		so far the
		Generation of radioactive	definition of Predisposal Management		structure of the
		waste	referred in IAEA Glossary 2022. Although		initial
		4.2 Processing of radioactive	both the contents are very much important		
		waste	and should be the part of this standard		publication. It is
			however, these contents may be described		too early at the
		4.4 Radioactive waste	separately. Further, content 4.4 Radioactive		DPP level to
		acceptance criteria	waste acceptance criteria can be addressed		modify the
			after "section 5.2 Development of		structure while
			predisposal radioactive waste		the drafting has
			management facilities".		not started.