

**DS498 DPP, External Events Excluding Earthquakes in the Design of Nuclear Installations**

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: NSSC Country/Organization: Republic of Korea/NSSC Date: 2017/03/14							
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	Page 2 Para. 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In general, the <b>existing</b> terminology <b>used</b> needs to be revised and <b>made be</b> consistent with the new definitions in the safety requirements and the safety glossary (2016 edition). The existing recommendations will be revised to ensure consistency with the Safety Requirements on Site Evaluation for Nuclear Installations NS-R-3 rev. 1.</li> </ul>	The expression “terminology used needs to be revised and made consistent” rather than “existing terminology needs to be revised and be consistent” seems to be more appropriate in this sentence.				
2	Page 2 Para. 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The existing recommendations will be reformulated as necessary to <b>fulfil fulfill</b> the current design requirements in SSR-2/1, rev. 1, SSR-3 and SSR-4/DS478.</li> </ul>	Wording error (fulfil→ fulfill)				

**TITLE : DPP-DS498, “External Events Excluding Earthquakes in the Design of Nuclear Installations”**

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer:		Page 1 of 1					
Country/Organization: Japan/NRA		Date: 24 Mar., 2017					
Com ment No.	Para/Li ne No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Acce pted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rej ect ed	Reason for modif./rejection
1.	Chapter 7 OVERV OEW	Add the following aspect just before the last bullet in the external hazards list.  • <u>The potential impact on several or even all facilities due to common cause failures in a multi-facilities site</u>	Keep consistency with SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) para. 5.15B and also NS-R-3 (Rev. 1) para. 1.7., which are enforced taking into account lessons learnt from the Tepco Fukushima Daiichi NPPs accidents.				