**TITLE：Japan Comments for DPP-DS 485 DPP in version 5**

| COMMENTS BY REVIEWERReviewer: NRA Page 1 of Country/Organization: Japan/NRA Date: 10 Oct. 2014 | RESOLUTION |
| --- | --- |
| Comment No. | Para/Line No. | Proposed new text | Reason | Accepted | Accepted, but modified as follows | Rejected | Reason for modification/rejection |
| 1 | General | * Basically, the review for ‘ageing management’ and ‘LTO’ are different concept so it should be considered separately and carefully for drafting.
* ‘Ageing management’ is a management review for future aged plants including the plant maintenance program, and it should be also organized with PSR.
* On the other hands, ‘LTO’ is related to update for plant license and it includes update license from old technology, reflecting new technical standards etc.
* In Japan, from the aftermath of the Tepco Fukushima Dai-ichi NPPs accidents, we established the codes and standards as followings;
	+ Operating period: max. 40 years.
	+ Approve additional 20 years based upon the latest technical standards and specific inspections as LTO.
	+ In parallel with the above, technical review for over 30 years plants are introduced separately.
 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | TOC | 2. BASIC CONCEPTSAgeing management Obsolescence management~~Programme for long term operation~~ | Duplicated in sec. 3. “Long term operation”. |  |  |  |  |