

Draft Safety Guide
N°DS432
WNA Comments

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: World Nuclear Association		Page					
Country/Organization: WNA		Date: 2014-11-03					
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
1	General	As this document tries to consolidate references to applications of the safety principles in SF1 and other requirements in relation to protection of the public and the environment in all exposure situations, care needs to be taken that the information provided does not conflict between the appropriate documents and that this safety guide does not generate its own safety requirements. We appreciate that this is likely to form part of the ongoing reviews as the document evolves, but wish to reiterate this point.					
2	1.8 and 1.10	Contradicting text: 1.8 states that generic guidance is not provided for specific exposure situations, whereas para 1.10 indicates that it is.	Review				
3	2.2, 2.11, 2.38, etc GSR 7 establishes requirements	References to draft documents should not be used; these may change or be deleted. Wait until the requirements are published or refer to the SF.				
4	2.6 and 2.7	It would be helpful to explain the transfer from emergency to existing exposure situation, or refer to the document which provides this guidance.	There is a lack of guidance about when an emergency exposure situation becomes an existing exposure situation.				

COMMENTS BY REVIEWER				RESOLUTION			
Reviewer: World Nuclear Association		Page					
Country/Organization: WNA		Date: 2014-11-03					
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed new text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted, but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/rejection
5	2.12	Clarify which decisions should be taken at a sufficiently high governmental level...	It is unclear from the text as to which decisions this relates to; the chapter deals with framework of protection and the section deals with justification.				
6	2.19	In each exposure situation the process of justification and optimization should include consultations with interested parties.	This statement may cause confusion in terms of understanding who the interested parties may be, which decisions need consultation, and what level of consultation. It could be misused.				
7	3.9	References are missing					